Bengal Secretariat Library Copy.
To be returned when done with.

REPORT

ON

CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS

FOR

1891.

By R. OBBARD, Esq., C. S.,
OFFG. JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER, HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS.

Hyderabad:
PRINTED AT THE RESIDENCY GOVERNMENT PRESS.
1892.

XIIIA

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

No. 909 of 1892.

From

F. S. BULLOCK, Esq, C.S.,

Judicial Commissioner, Hyderabad Assigned Districts,

To

The SECRETARY for BERAR to the RESIDENT,

Hyderabad.

Dated Amraoti, the 12th May 1892.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the Reports on Criminal* and Civil Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for the year 1891, and to state that Mr Obbard had drawn up these reports before making over charge of this office and I therefore forward them as compiled by him.

* The Criminal Justice Report has been separately printed and published.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. S. BULLOCK,

Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY.

Submission of report 1
Tribunals 2

PART I—ORIGINAL COURTS.

A.—*Institution of Suits.*

Para.

Number of suits instituted 3
Decrease of litigation 4
Causes of decrease 5
Comments on fluctuations in districts ... ... 6 to 9
Proportion of suits to area, population, and land revenue 10
Institutions during 1890 and 1891 in the different Civil Courts .. 11
Fluctuations noticed 12
Explanation of increase 13
Remarks on decreases in certain Courts 14

B.—*Classification of Suits.*

Suits classified 15
Rights in revenue survey fields 16
Wattandari suits 17

C.—*Value and Costs of Suits.*

Value of suits instituted 18
Variations in value 19

D.—*Disposal of Original Suits.*

Suits for disposal 20
Number disposed of 21
Manner of disposal 22
Contested cases 23
Cases compromised and dismissed for default .. ... 24 & 25
Reference to arbitration 26
Pending file ... ... 27 to 30
Average duration ... ... 31 to 33
Value and costs of suits disposed of 34
Average value and costs of suits ... 35
Process and Court fees 36

E.—*Original Miscellaneous Cases.*

Result of trial of miscellaneous cases 37
Number pending 38
Average duration 39

F.—*Agency for disposal of suits.*

Distribution of civil work 40
Work done by Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners 41

G—*Execution of decrees.*

Result of execution proceedings 42
Realization in money decrees 43
Arrest and imprisonment 44
Sale of moveable and immoveable property 45
Time set aside by Courts for execution work 46
Sale of land paying revenue to Government 47
Insolvency proceedings under the Civil Procedure Code and Bankruptcy ... 48

H.—*Witnesses.*

Attendance of witnesses	49
Detention of witnesses	50

PART II—SMALL CAUSE COURTS.

Number of Courts	51
Suits instituted and disposed of	52

PART III—APPELLATE COURTS.

Institution of appeals	53
Remarks on the above	54
Miscellaneous appeals	55
Distribution of appellate work	56
Work disposed of and pending	57
Remarks on working	58
Manner of disposal of appeals	59
Remarks on the result of appeals .. .. 60 &	61
Average duration	62
Value and cost of appeals	63

PART IV—GENERAL.

Cases inspected by Deputy Commissioners	64
Inspection work of Judicial Commissioner	65
Inspection of Courts	66
Local bar ... ... 67 &	68
Office of Judicial Commissioner	69
Quality of work done by Judges	70
Notice of officers ... ... 71 &	72

BY ORDER OF THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF BENGAL * FORWARDED

No. 735-A OF 1892.

BENGAL SECR.

FROM

R. OBBARD, ESQ., C. S.,

Offg. Judl. Commr., Hyderabad Assigned Districts,

To

THE SECRETARY FOR BERAR TO THE RESIDENT,

Hyderabad.

Dated Amraoti, 15th April 1892.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the report on the administration of civil justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891, and along with it I forward the prescribed returns and the District and Small Cause Court reports.

The District and Small Cause Court reports and returns were received in proper time.

Tribunals.

2. The Courts actually open at the end of 1891 are contrasted with those actually open at the end of 1890 in the following table :—

TABLE I.

Officers.	1891.	1890.
Judicial Commissioner of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts	1	1
Civil and Sessions Judge, Hyderabad Assigned Districts	1	1
Deputy Commissioners	4	4
Civil Judges	5	5
Assistant Commissioners	5	5
Extra Assistant Commissioners ...	12	12
Attachés	2	3
Tahsildars	1	2
Naib Tahsildars	4	4
Small Cause Court Judges ...	5	5
Total ...	40	42

There has been no alteration in the constitution of the Courts and practically no alteration in the number.

The Courts of the Deputy Commissioners of Amraoti and Akola are, as in the last year, not shown, as these officers do neither original nor appellate work, and their work as District Judges is such that it does not come into the returns.

At the end of 1890 there was no Civil Judge in Wun; at the end of 1891 there was no officer invested with the powers of a Civil Judge at Basim.

The Tahsildar of Melghat is the only Tahsildar now required to do civil work. There was an Attaché short at the end of the year owing to the transfer of Mr. Govind Naik and the non-arrival of Mr. Hashmat Ali.

Part I—Original Courts.

A.—*Institution of Suits.*

Number of suits instituted.

3. The following statement gives the number of suits instituted in the Civil Courts of the province in each of the last six years :—

Table II.

Name of District.	1886.	1887.	1888.	1889.	1890.	Average of 5 years.	1891.	Increase or decrease on 5 years' average.	Percentage of increase or decrease on 5 years' average.	Increase or decrease on last year's figures.	Percentage of increase or decrease on last year's figures.
	No. of suits instituted.	No. of suits instituted.	No. of suits instituted.	No. of suits instituted.	No. of suits instituted.		No. of suits instituted.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Akola ...	7,167	6,833	6,231	6,842	6,111	6,647	5,831	— 816	12·3	— 280	4·6
Buldana ...	2,911	3,055	4,935	4,034	4,854	3,958	4,184	+ 226	5·7	— 670	13·8
Basim ..	2,602	2,513	2,751	2,958	3,258	2,816	3,041	+ 225	8·	— 217	6·7
Amraoti ..	8,155	6,316	7,038	6,341	6,051	6,780	5,452	—1,328	19·6	— 599	9·9
Ellichpur ..	3,129	3,490	3,258	3,591	3,332	3,360	2,263	—1,097	32·6	—1,069	32·1
Wun ...	2,006	2,284	2,711	2,010	2,148	2,232	1,819	— 413	18·5	— 329	15·3
Total ...	25,970	24,491	26,974	25,776	25,754	25,793	22,590	—3,203	12·4	—3,164	12·3

Decrease of litigation.

4. This table shows that there has been, as compared with 1890, a total decrease in institutions of 3,164 cases, or a decrease of 12·3 per cent. and a decrease of 3,203, or 12·4 per cent. if comparison be made with the average of the five previous years. The decrease as compared with 1890 is common to all districts, but is most marked in Ellichpur, Buldana, Basim, and Wun. In Buldana and Basim, however, the institutions are higher than the five years' average, and the decrease this year may in these districts be considered as a return to normal figures after the large increase of 1890. The real districts of decrease are Ellichpur, Amraoti and Wun.

Causes of decrease.

5. The following reasons are given for the decrease in the reports of those districts :—

The Deputy Commissioner, Ellichpur, writes :—

"No particular reason can be assigned to this decrease, but it may be due to changes in the *personnel* of the presiding Judges in the Civil Courts at head quarters and the Dariapur Court, which necessitated frequent changes in the distribution of civil work, as well as to paucity of officers during the greater part of the year under report. The more the facilities for the disposal of work, the greater is the influx of civil cases."

The Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, writing about the decrease in his district, exclusive of the Small Cause Court, Amraoti, which has according to custom submitted an independent report, says :

"The above tables show that on the whole there is a decrease of 296 cases or 7·8 per cent. in the institution of suits. Of this 208 cases were short in the Budnera Court alone, which Court was practically closed for a considerable part of the year, the working days being only two weeks per month from the month of March."

The Judge, Small Cause Court, Amraoti, attributes the decrease of 303 suits, or 13·3 per cent., in that Court to the same cause.

The Deputy Commissioner, Wun, says :—

"The Civil Court of the Extra Assistant Commissioner at Kelapur was closed from 8th February to 16th June 1891, and the Court of Mr. Prideaux, Assistant Commissioner, was closed during the whole of the month of August 1891, when Mr. Prideaux was absent on leave, and again from 20th November till the end of the year, when Mr. Prideaux was in camp. These temporary closings of Courts, together with some slight stoppage of traffic owing to heavy rains, have, I believe, been the chief, if not the sole, reasons for the decrease in the number of civil suits instituted during the year under report."

6. I am not sure that the reasons given for decrease are altogether sufficient. The congestion of work in the Ellichpur district may have had something to do with the decrease of institutions in that district, but frequent changes and shortness of officers at head-quarters and at Dariapur could not account for the decrease in the Court of the Tahsildar, Melghat, where there was a decrease of 49 per cent. The Budnera and Amraoti Small Cause Courts were partially closed, but the chief decrease in proportion though not in actual numbers is in the head-quarter Courts, where the decrease is 23 per cent. I have always understood that the Courts working at the head-quarters of the Amraoti district were stronger this year than in the previous year, and there have this year been few changes of officers in the Amraoti district. In the Wun district the main decrease is at Kelapur. As the work there can never be congested, I do not think that a temporary closing of the Court in the earlier half of the year would cause a decrease in the number of suits. There is no reason why persons who were prevented from filing suits in February, March and April (May and half June were regular vacation enjoyed by all Courts) should not have come to file them when the Court re-opened, or at any rate within six months after. It can scarcely be the case that any large proportion of plaintiffs file cases merely because they find the Court open at the exact time when they want to file their cases. As a matter of fact, ascertained from work statements and from the Deputy Commissioner's own explanation quoted in paragraph 13 of last year's provincial report, page 13, it is evident the Kelapur Court was closed in February, March and April 1890, as well as in the corresponding months of 1891. Mr. Prideaux's going into camp cannot have made very much difference. I believe he went into camp in December 1890 also, and the Courts of the Wun district were stronger and had less work on hand towards the close of 1891 than at the close of 1890.

Comments on fluctuations in districts.

7. I know that there has been and still is a slackness of civil work at Amraoti. The fact is recognized by the Judges and pleaders, but what the cause or the chief cause may be (there may be hundreds of small causes contributing) I am unable to say. I think it probable that the same cause has operated in the Ellichpur and Wun districts also. In Ellichpur the congested state of work may have contributed to the result, but I do not think that it has much contributed to the result in Amraoti, and not at all in Wun.

8 The Deputy Commissioner, Akola, writing about the general decrease in his district, says :—

"The cotton markets became dull during the latter portion of the season; large buyers who had already made their purchases from the cultivators suffered heavy losses in cotton trade; this had a deterrent effect on sowcars, who are said to have made private arrangements with their debtors by renewal of documents, and obtaining the debtors' acknowledgment in account books."

9. In continuation of the same subject, the Deputy Commissioner, Wun, writes as follows : —

"Why the litigation has not increased of late years side by side with the increase of population, cultivation, trade, &c., of the district is another question, the answer to which is beyond doubt the fact of certain sections of Act X of 1859, the Bengal Rent Act, having been extended to this district. Owing to this Act more than one-third of the district is practically unrepresented in our Civil Courts. Out of 1,295 inhabited villages in the district, 487 are jagir or izara villages in which the jagirdar or izaradar is the money lender and landlord, and all the residents in those villages are at the mercy of the jagirdars and izaradars, who need not resort to Civil Courts to recover their dues. All they have to do is to seize the fields or crops of the debtors and take what they say is due to them—the debtors have practically no redress."

"I have frequently urged the necessity of repealing the notification extending any sections of Act X of 1859 to the Wun district."

I cannot endorse these remarks, and it is difficult to believe that they are penned by an officer who is at once the District Judge and the Collector of the district. The sections of Act X of 1859 which have been extended to the leased waste villages of Berar give landlords the power to distrain crops, but I do not think

they give them any power to seize lands, and the powers of distraint have practically to be exercised through the agency of the Civil Court and under the supervision of and subject to appeal to the Revenue Courts. There is no reason to think that officers who exercise both revenue and judicial powers will be less ready to grant redress because application is made to them as Collectors and Deputy Collectors and not as District and Subordinate Judges.

Proportion of suits to area, population, and land revenue.

10. In order to get some idea of the comparative frequency of cases in the different districts, I have had the following statement prepared showing the incidence of the last five years' average of cases on the area, population and land revenue of each district and comparing the litigation of each district with reference to the provincial total :—

TABLE III.

Districts	Average for five years	Area.	Population.	Land revenue.	No. of cases for each thousand acres.	No. of cases for each thousand of population.	No of cases for each thousand of land revenue.	Percentage and provincial litigation.
Akola .	6,647	1,702,039	574,782	1,917,015	3·9	11·5	3·4	25·7
Buldana ...	3,958	1,797,776	481,021	1,063,195	2·2	8·2	3·7	15·3
Basim ...	2,816	1,891,802	398,181	665,612	1·4	7·07	4·2	10·9
Amraoti ...	6,780	1,765,896	655,645	1,702,427	3·8	10·3	3·9	26·3
Ellichpur ...	3,360	1,678,919	315,798	995,178	2·	10·6	3·3	13·02
Wun ...	2,232	2,503,198	471,613	624,525	·8	4·7	3·5	8·6

The statement shows that litigation in the Wun district is small as compared with the area and population; but of the area large tracts are waste, and of the population a large proportion are simple and ignorant folk who shun litigation and decide matters by punchayet. The litigation of Wun is not much behind if the forwardness of the district be measured by the land revenue paid. It is curious that the percentage of contested cases in the Wun district exceeds the percentage of such cases in every other district. This indicates to my mind that the people come to Court less readily than in other districts, which may be due to their backwardness in civilization and to the fact that the trading classes and town populations are small. The tenantry of leased villages are no doubt dependent on their landlords, but this is not because of the peculiarity of the law applicable to those villages, but because the tenants have been brought in and fostered by the landlords and have not yet become firmly established and independent.

Institutions during 1890 and 1891 in the different Civil Courts.

11. The following statement shows the number of suits instituted in each head-quarter station and each outlying Court during the year under report and the year previous :—

TABLE IV.

Locality of Courts.	1890. No. of suits instituted.	1891. No. of suits instituted.	Increase or decrease in number of suits, *i. e.*, in columns 2 and 3.	Percentage of increase or decrease.
1	2	3	4	5
Akola Head-quarter Courts	532	506	— 26	4·9
Akola Small Cause Court	1,591	1,532	— 59	3·7
Khamgaon Court ...	767	680	— 87	11·3
Telhara Court ...	2,654	2,433	— 221	8·3
Julgaon Naib Tahsildar's Court	211	243	+ 32	15·2
Akot Naib Tahsildar's Court	210	296	+ 86	41·
Balapur Naib Tahsildar's Court	146	141	— 5	3·4
Total	6,111	5,831	— 280	4·6
Buldana Head-quarter Courts	1,488	1,663	+ 175	11·8
Malkapur Court ..	1,358	1,042	— 316	23·3
Mehkar Court ...	2,008	1,479	— 529	26·3
Total	4,854	4,184	— 670	13·8
Basim Head-quarter Court	1,904	1,518	— 386	20·3
Mangrul Naib Tahsildar's Court	426	403	— 23	5·4
Pusad Courts	928	1,120	+ 192	20·7
Total	3,258	3,041	— 217	6·7
Amraoti Head-quarter Courts	1,120	862	— 258	23·
Amraoti Small Cause Court	2,283	1,980	— 303	13·2
Badnera Court ...	1,371	1,163	— 208	15·2
Morsi Court ...	1,277	1,447	+ 170	13·3
Total	6,051	5,452	— 599	9·9
Ellichpur Head-quarter Courts	1,908	1,537	— 371	19·4
Daryapur Court ...	1,332	672	— 660	49·5
Melghat Court ...	92	54	— 38	41·3
Total	3,332	2,263	—1,069	32·1
Wun Head-quarter Courts	1,571	1,428	— 143	9·1
Kelapur Court	577	391	— 186	32·2
Total	2,148	1,819	— 329	15·3
Grand Total ...	25,754	22,590	—3,164	12·3

Fluctuations noticed

12. These tables show that the decrease in 1891 as compared with 1890 has been general, and is generally distributed over all Courts.

Explanation of increase.

13. The apparent exceptions to general decrease are in the following Courts:—

(1) Julgaon and Akot Naib Tahsildars' Courts.
(2) Buldana Head-quarter Courts.
(3) Pusad Court.
(4) Morsi Court.

In regard to (1) the Deputy Commissioner, Akola, writes as follows :—

"As shown in table B the decrease is spread all over the district except the two Naib Tahsildars' Courts at Julgaon and Akot, which show an increase. Increase was expected in Naib Tahsildars' Courts as it was the second of their full working years and their difficulty of execution of decrees was removed by providing them with establishment."

In regard to (2) the Deputy Commissioner, Buldana, writes:—

"The increased institutions against the Head-quarter Courts may be thus accounted for. After the transfer of Mr. Marshall, the Mulkapur Court was left in charge of Mr.

Hyderali—an officer with only limited civil powers, *viz.*, those of a Tahsildar of the 1st class, and suits beyond his jurisdiction had consequently to be presented at Buldana. The decrease against the Malkapur Court may also be due to the same cause. Many cases were not filed pending the appointment of an officer with full powers at Malkapur. The low institution in the Mehkar Court may be ascribed to the closing of the Small Cause Court there after the transfer of Mr. Ambadas Sunto."

In regard to (3) and (4) satisfactory explanation is not given by Deputy Commissioners. I think it probable that there was to some extent an interchange between Basim and Pusad such as that described by the Deputy Commissioner, Buldana, in regard to Buldana and Malkapur. The increase at Morsi may be accounted for by the fact that in 1890 the Morsi Court was practically closed for six months, and that the powers of the presiding Judge have been this year increased. The decrease in Amraoti Head-quarter Courts has absorbed the difference.

Remarks on decreases in certain Courts.

14. The decreases were most marked at—

(1) Malkapur.
(2) Mehkar.
(3) Basim Head-quarters.
(4) Daryapur.
(5) Melghat.
(6) Kelapur.

In regard to the decrease in the Daryapur Court the Deputy Commissioner writes :—

"The decrease in the Daryapur Court is entirely due to the Judge being able to discriminate the evidence; the suits would have still decreased had he been able to make local enquiries, when he would have had more material for cross-examination, thus minimising the chances of success in a case got up for the Courts."

Mr. Ismail, the Judge referred to, does his work carefully and tries to discriminate the false from the true, but I should not like to say that his character or procedure alone account for the decrease. In the passage before quoted from the Deputy Commissioner's report, a different reason for decrease is given, and if this is the only reason for decrease at Daryapur, why was there a decrease at Ellichpur and Chikalda also?

B.—*Classification of Suits.*

Suits classified.

15. The following is a classified statement of the suits instituted during the past two years :—

TABLE V.

Description of suits	1890. Number of suits.	1890. Percentage of total suits.	1891. Number of suits.	1891. Percentage of total suits.	Increase or decrease in number of suits, *i.e.*, in columns 2 and 3.	Percentage of increase or decrease.
1. Contracts in writing	14,169	55·	12,425	54·9	—1,744	12·3
2. Contracts not in writing	3,202	12·4	2,672	11·8	— 530	16·5
3. On account stated	3,229	12·5	3,093	13·7	— 136	4·2
4. Money had and received	213	·8	236	1·	+ 23	10·8
5. Goods sold	311	1·2	258	1·1	— 53	17·
6. Wages, work and materials ...	137	·5	168	·7	+ 31	22·6
7. Other suits for money or moveables not already mentioned	268	1·	197	·9	— 71	27·1
8. Rent not falling under rent law ...	184	·7	151	·7	— 33	17·9
9. Moveable property or value thereof ...	444	1·7	400	1·8	— 44	9·9
10. Damages	164	·6	120	·5	— 44	26·8
Total ...	22,321	86·7	19,720	87·3	—2,601	11·6

TABLE V.—*continued.*

Description of suits.	1890. Number of suits.	1890. Percentage of total suits.	1891. Number of suits.	1891. Percentage of total suits.	Increase or decrease in number of suits i.e., in columns 2 and 3		Percentage of increase or decrease.
11. Suits for immovable property ...	2,001	7·8	1,578	7·	—	423	21·1
12. Suits for declaratory decrees ...	157	·6	128	·6	—	29	18·5
13. Suits under Specific Relief Act ...	38	·2	41	·2	+	3	7·9
14. Suits to declare and establish rights to real property including pre-emption, foreclosure, &c.	713	2·8	709	3·1	—	4	·6
15. Suits to decree and establish personal rights	112	·4	86	·4	—	26	23·2
16. Suits for an account	3	·01	13	·06	+	10	333·3
17. Suits relating to religious endowments	...	...	1	...	+	1	...
18. Suits to set aside judgment, contracts, or obligations on the grounds of fraud	36	1	36	·2	...		...
19. Suits for dissolution of marriage ...	8	·03	11	·05	+	3	37·5
20. Suits for enforcement of matrimonial rights	126	·5	83	·4	—	43	34·1
21. Suits for partition	90	·4	27	·1	—	63	70·
22. Administration suits	1	...	...	...	—	1	...
23. Dissolution of partnership ...	6	·02	3	·01	—	3	50·
24. Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads	142	·6	154	·7	+	12	7·7
Total ...	3,433	13·3	2,870	12·7	—	563	16·4
Grand Total ...	25,754	100	22,590	100	—	3,164	12·3

The district reports will show to what extent Deputy Commissioners have complied with the Resident's wish expressed in paragraph 4 of the review of the report of 1889. I do not think that any of the information collected is of sufficient interest to be reproduced in the provincial report. Statements No. VII and VII (A) show the litigation regarding survey numbers and watandari suits respectively.

Rights in revenue survey fields.

16. Litigation regarding survey numbers is contrasted in the following table:—

TABLE VI.

Years.	Suits to recover possession.	Other suits between parties claiming as proprietors.	Other suits between the proprietors of Survey Nos. and sub-tenants.	Suits not included in the foregoing.	Total.	Suits to establish rights to survey numbers.
1890 ...	111	636	363	361	1,471	478
1891 ...	59	360	338	404	1,161	348
	—52	—276	—25	+43	—310	—130

There has been a net decrease of 310 cases during the year under report in suits to recover possession, in suits between parties claiming as proprietors and between proprietors and tenants; but there has been an increase of 43 in suits not included in those classes, and a decrease of 130 in suits to establish rights.

Wattandari suits.

17. The following table shows the number of wattandari suits instituted in the year 1890. and 1891 :—

TABLE VII.

Cases relating to	1890.	1891.	Increase.	Decrease.
Deshmukh watan	14	5	...	9
Deshpandia's watan	4	3	...	1
Patels' watan	14	17	3	...
Patwaris' watan	3	2	...	1
Mullas and Kazis' watan	2	3	1	...
Mahars' watan	14	15	1	...
Joshees' watan	12	10	...	2
Other wattandari suits	3	10	7	...
Total ...	66	65.	12	13

C.—*Value and Costs of Suits.*

Value of suits instituted.

18. The relative values of suits instituted in 1891 are shown in table VIII and contrasted with the figures for the preceding year

TABLE VIII.

Grades of value.	1890. Number of suits instituted.	1890. Percentage on total	1891 Number of suits instituted	1891 Percentage on total.	Increase or decrease in suits.	Percentage of increase or decrease
Not exceeding Rs. 10	1,652	6·4	1,521	6·8	— 131	7·9
Do. 50	11,539	44·8	10,155	45·9	—1,384	12·
Do. 100	5,431	21·1	4,854	21·4	— 577	10·6
Do. 500	5,516	21·1	4,723	20·9	— 793	14·4
Do 1,000	517	2·	483	2·1	— 34	6·6
Over Rs. 1,000 ...	247	1·	234	1·1	— 13	5·3
Number of suits the value of which can. not be estimated	852	3·3	620	2·7	— 232	27·2
Total ...	25,754	100·	22,590	100·	—3,164	12·3

Variations in value.

19. There has been a general decrease in all values, the decreases being more accentuated in those classes in which there are always most suits.

D.—*Disposal of Original Suits.*

Suits for disposal.

20. Including 3,491 suits pending from 1890 and 733 re-admitted cases, the total number of suits for disposal during 1891 was 26,814, showing as compared with the previous year a decrease of 3,009 or 9·9 per cent.

Number disposed of.

21. Of this total number (26,814), 22,728 or 84·7 per cent. were disposed of during the year. The best proportion of disposal is found in the Akola district, where 90·4 per cent. of the cases for disposal were disposed of.

The Ellichpur district, where only 58 per cent. were disposed of, shows the worst result.

Manner of disposal.

22. The mode of disposal is contrasted with former years in the following table:—

TABLE IX.

Results.	Number of suits.	Proportion in 1891.	Corresponding proportion in years.				
			1890.	1889.	1888.	1887.	1886.
Plaints rejected or returned ...	150	·7	·7	·5	·3	·3	·2
(*a*) Disposed of without contest—							
Dismissed for default	1,982	8·7	7·4	7·6	6·2	7·1	7·3
Withdrawn with leave	320	1·4	1·5	1·4	1·4	1·7	1·3
Compromised	3,398	15·	16·2	14·	12·9	12·7	14·
Decreed on confession	6,219	27·3	28·	29·5	32·4	30·6	34·6
Decreed *ex parte*	4,437	19·6	19·1	21·5	20·7	19·2	17·1
Dismissed *ex parte*	247	1·1	·9	·8	·9	1·	·7
Total uncontested ..	16,753	73·8	73·8	75·3	74·8	72·6	75·2
(*b*) Contested and judgment given for—							
Plaintiff in whole or in part ...	4,539	20·	20·	19·5	19·6	21·5	19·9
Defendant in whole	1,355	5·9	5·8	4·8	5·2	5·6	4·3
Total contested ..	5,894	25·9	25·8	24·3	24·8	27·1	24·7
By arbitration	81	·3	·4	·4	·4	·3	·1
Grand Total ..	22,728	100	100	100	100	100	100

Contested cases.

23. The provincial proportion of contested cases remains pretty constant, but the proportion in districts varies somewhat from year to year as will be seen from the following figures:—

TABLE X.

District.	Proportion in 1890.	Proportion in 1891.
Akola	28·1	27·6
Buldana	22·8	21·
Basim	24·1	19·2
Amraoti	31·3	29·6
Ellichpur	23·1	33·2
Wun	34·4	37·1

Perhaps the most remarkable point is that the proportion in the Wun district was higher in both years than in any other district. In regard to this the Deputy Commissioner, Wun, writes:—

"Comparing the figures of the past two years it will be seen that the uncontested cases have fallen from 65·6 to 62·9 and contested ones risen from 34·4 to 37·1. As this average of contested suits is much above the average of Berar as a whole, I think it shows that the courts in this district are not yet so much troubled with unnecessary litigation as in some other districts."

24. There has been an increase in the number of cases dismissed for default, The increase seems to be in some measure due to the increase in the Amraoti district where the percentage rose from 12·7 to 16·8, but the provincial increase appears to be counterbalanced by decrease in the proportion of cases compromised.

Cases compromised and dismissed for default.

I think there is a direct connection between cases compromised and cases dismissed for default. Dismissals for default often mean that cases have been compromised. This will be seen from the following figures which contrast the proportion of disposals under these two heads in different districts for 1891 :—

TABLE XI.

District.	Dismissed for default.	Compromised.	Total.
Akola	4·7	16·6	21·3
Buldana	3·1	15·8	18·9
Basim	12·9	15·7	28·6
Amraoti	[illegible]	[illegible]	27·6
Ellichpur	5·4	18·1	23·5
Wun	10·4	10·7	21·1

Parties follow a lead, and in some districts it becomes a custom for the parties to enter into a new agreement and keep away, while in others they appear before the Judge and get a decree passed on compromise, or at least inform the Court that the case has been compromised, in either of which cases the suit is shown as disposed of on compromise

25. There is a great variation in the percentage of suits dismissed for default in different Courts even in the same district, as may be seen from comparing the proportion of dismissals for default in different Courts of the Amraoti district, where the proportion varies from 10·2 per cent. in Mr. Bandhuji Janardhan's Court to 20 per cent. in Mr. Simpson's Court. But that the difference is mostly due to the custom of the place, and not to the procedure of the presiding officer, may be seen from the fact that Mr. Ambadas Sunto who decided 1,363 cases in the Buldana district, of which only 25 cases were dismissed for default, dismissed for default 16 out of 110 cases disposed of by him in the Basim district. Table IX calls for no other remarks.

Reference to arbitration.

26. Arbitration is shown as usual to be unpopular.

27. The following table shows the number of cases pending at the close of the years 1890 and 1891, and those that were pending over three months in each district :—

Pending file.

TABLE XII.

District.	Pending		Pending over three months.	
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.
Akola	713	536	229	82
Buldana	152	440	26	58
Basim	169	843	56	95
Amraoti	1,714	1,666	817	933
Ellichpur	503	908	185	345
Wun	240	193	79	74
Total ...	3,491	4,086	1,392	1,587

The number of cases pending at the close of the year under report was 4,086. Of these 1,587 had been pending more than three months. It is unsatisfactory that the Courts which had less work in 1891 than in 1890 should have left more cases undisposed of.

28. The work in the Akola, Buldana, Basim and Wun districts is fairly up to time The arrears in Ellichpur and Amraoti are much greater than they ought to be. The Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, gives the following explanation :—

"The number of cases pending at the close of the year was 1,138 against 1,389 at the close of the previous year. Of these 681 were pending over three months against 745 at the close of the previous year. Though the figures show an improvement over the result of the year 1890, yet the state of affairs is not as it ought to be."

"During the course of the year under report the pending file of this district formed a subject of a heavy correspondence between my office and that of the Judicial Commissioner and the Commissioner, and I think the result of the enquiry was on the whole satisfactory. A special officer (Mr. Ajudhia Pershad) was deputed to this district to reduce the pending file, and it was expected that much could be done during course of the year But I am sorry the result at the close of the year, though showing a slight improvement, is disappointing"

"This I would ascribe especially to the fact that the Small Cause Court at Badnera was practically closed for a considerable part of the year, the presiding Judge having to devote half of his working days to the Small Cause Court at Amraoti, on account of the deputation of Mr Ardesar to the Census Department. With all the efforts of Mr. Edulji (who has certainly had very heavy work and who has done all in his power and am satisfied very few officers could have been able to do so much in addition to very heavy criminal work), the pending file of the Badnera Court has risen to 376 cases as compared with 89 pending at close of 1890. This has spoilt the result of the district returns. In the previous year, the pending file of the Morsi Court was very heavy, being 496 cases, and Mr. Mubarak Ally has creditably reduced it to 71. Of course, his investiture with the powers of a Small Cause Court Judge up to Rs. 100 has assisted him greatly in doing this. Notwithstanding all the earnest efforts of all the officers, I am sorry the file has not been reduced to what I hoped to see it at. During the year under report there were not many changes in the staff of officers. Mr. Bandhuji for some months and Mr. Ajudhia Pershad during the whole time he has been in this district have been doing solely civil work; the distribution has been strictly according to the scheme of 1889, and yet the results are not satisfactory The only reasons I can find for this are, as I have already said, Mr. Sanjana's having charge of two Courts in addition to very heavy criminal work, and Mr. Simpson's going out on tour for two months. Both these officers had to deal with easy cases, and even though they had worked for the full period of one year, the bulk of heavy cases at the head-quarter Courts would have remained as it is. Mr. Ajudhia Pershad was specially deputed to deal with these heavy cases, and with all his hard work he could turn out only 205 cases during the period he has been in the district. It is apparent to my mind that no officer can turn out more than 400 of these heavy cases in a year, even if solely put to civil work, as Mr. Ajudhia Pershad has been and also Mr. Bandhuji for some months. Under the circumstances it is quite impossible for some years at least to clear off the pending file."

29. It will be noticed that in the above abstract from the Amraoti district report the Deputy Commissioner accounts for 1,138 pending cases alone. This is because he excludes the figures of the Amraoti Small Cause Court. The figures are separated in the following table :—

TABLE XIII

Courts.	Number in 1890.	Number in 1891.	Increase.	Decrease.
Courts at head-quarters	806	691	...	115
Small Cause Court at Badnera	89	376	287	...
Do. at Amraoti	325	528	203	...
Court at Morsi	494	71	...	423
Total ..	1,714	1,666	490	538

This total shows that there has been decrease in the Amraoti district, if the Amraoti and Badnera Small Cause Courts are excluded. The Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, takes too gloomy a view of the arrears. They will be worked off. With his ample staff of officers, he could have done more to work them off in 1891. Under the law as it now stands the Small Cause Courts have not got exclusive jurisdiction, and some of the small cause cases might with advantage have been transferred to another officer for disposal. The staff was unusually strong throughout the year. At least three of the head-quarter officers might well have been kept to civil judicial work exclusively. The Deputy Commissioner would still have had three officers at head-quarters to do criminal and other work. I do not see why the Civil Judge should not have been kept to civil work alone as the judicial scheme contemplated, or why Mr. Simpson should not have been required to dispose of civil cases in camp. A concession rightly made in Wun, where work was up to date, might have been as rightly refused in Amraoti, where the work was in arrears. The judicial scheme only contemplates one stationary court in each district.

30. The Deputy Commissioner, Ellichpur, writes :—

"With the inadequate staff of officers at my disposal during the year under report for the disposal of civil work, better result could not be expected."

Taking the year as a whole I think the staff in the Ellichpur district has been inadequate, and that the work has fallen into arrears owing to frequent changes of presiding Judges and to the practical closing of courts by leave being granted to officers without previous arrangement being made for a successor to carry on the work, *e.g.*, Mr Wright, District Superintendent of Police, went on leave, and Mr. MacGill was taken off the judicial staff to act for him. The Deputy Commissioner went on leave and Mr. Godwin Austin had to do his work. Mr. Godwin Austin himself went suddenly on leave and was not relieved by any one.

Average duration.

31. The average duration of contested cases during the year under report is 114·7 days and of uncontested cases 51·1 days against 81 and 41·8 days in the previous year. In contested cases the duration is highest in the following districts :—

Amraoti	...	...	...	203·5
Ellichpur	...	...	...	162·2

In other districts it varies from 63 in Buldana to 93·8 in Akola. In uncontested cases Amraoti shows 81·6 and Ellichpur 99·3. In other districts it varies from 31·2 in Basim to 42·9 in Wun.

32. The following statement gives the average duration of contested and uncontested cases for each district :—

TABLE XIV.

District.	1891.		1890	
	Contested.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Uncontested.
Akola	95·8	36·4	76·5	39·
Buldana	65·	28·3	42·6	17·5
Basim	64·5	34·2	67·4	33·9
Amraoti	181·4	81 6	110·	53·
Ellichpur	162·2	99·3	98·8	52·8
Wun	88·8	42·9	114·6	73·1

The duration in Wun has improved considerably, and as it is accompanied by a reduction in the pending file the result is satisfactory. In Basim the figures are nearly stationary, showing if anything a slight improvement, but the pending file has increased. In Akola there has been an increase under the head of

contested cases, but as the pending file has been reduced from 713 to 536 and the number of cases pending over 3 months from 229 to 82, the result is not bad. In Buldana the average has increased, and the pending file was also increased, but the result is, I think, due to movements of officers and temporary disarrangement of work thereby near the close of the year, and the duration is still lower than that of any other district. The results in Amraoti and Ellichpur are worse than in the previous year. In Amraoti there is no such decrease in the pending file as would justify the inference that the bad result is due to the arrears being made up. In Ellichpur the increase in duration is accompanied by an increase of the pending file and the result is therefore bad.

33. In regard to the long average duration in Amraoti and Ellichpur the Deputy Commissioners write as follows :—

Amraoti District.

" During the year under report the average duration has risen very high. This is due to many cases which were long pending having been disposed of in the year under report. This fact is evident from the figure shown against Mr. Ajudhia Pershad. The cases that were entrusted to him were very old, all of them being of those which were pending at the close of the year 1890."

Ellichpur District.

" *Deputy Commissioner's Court.*—The increase in the average duration of contested cases on the file of the Deputy Commissioner was due to one case which was filed on 7th November 1889 and disposed of on 26th March 1891. This suit was brought against one of the Melghat Rajas, who was a minor, for the recovery of a very large amount which the plaintiff alleged was due to him by the father of the Raja."

" *Mr. P. Suraj Narayen's Court.*—This officer disposed of several cases which were pending from a long time, hence the duration of cases disposed of by him has been very much affected. He had also the charge of the treasury and had occasionally to take up criminal cases."

" *Mr. MacGill's Court.*—During the time Mr. MacGill was on deputation as District Superintendent of Police the cases on his file were left undisposed of, as no other Judge at head-quarters could take up cases cognizable by a Court of Small Causes; moreover, while I was on privilege leave for three months, the only first-class Magistrate available at head-quarters was Mr. MacGill, and he had to take up criminal cases, therefore the civil work in his Court suffered, as it had to be postponed from time to time and this led to the increase in the duration of cases disposed of by him."

" *Mr. Mahomed Ismail's Court.*—Mr. Hyderali, Mr. Mahomed Ismail's predecessor at Daryapur, had the powers of a Tahsildar of the first class only, hence all cases over Rs. 300 and all cases regarding immoveable property from the Daryapur taluk had been filed in the Civil Court at head-quarters; when Mr. Ismail was appointed to the Daryapur Court, he being a judge with the powers of an Assistant Commissioner of the third class, all these cases were transferred to him. Duration in these cases has materially affected the duration of cases disposed of by Mr. Mahomed Ismail."

With reference to the remarks of the Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, it is needless to remark that the explanation, if intended as an explanation of general increase in duration, is no explanation at all.

Value and costs of suits disposed of.

34. The following table shows the value and costs of the suits disposed of during the year under report :—

TABLE XV.

Grades of value.	Number of suits disposed of.	Aggregate value of suits.	Aggregate costs of suits.	Average value of suits.	Average cost of suits	Percentage of cost of value.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Not exceeding Rs. 10 ..	1,494	10,488 4 3	4,643 1 8	7 2 9	3 1 1	40·5
Do. 50 ..	10,008	2,92,215 1 9	57,730 10 2	29 13 0	5 12 4	19·8
Do. 100	4,852	3,39,927 8 10	52,628 11 5	71 10 9	10 13 7	15·5
Do. 500 ...	4,857	9,67,471 4 1	1,32,855 10 3	205 6 6	27 5 8	13·7
Do. 1,000 ..	484	3,19,139 0 7	38,593 4 6	695 4 8	79 11 10	12·1
Do. 5,000 ..	238	4,38,252 2 4	46,195 6 5	1,849 2 9	179 0 10	10·5
Do. 10,000 ...	15	88,712 1 0	9,905 7 9	7,392 10 9	660 5 10	11·2
Exceeding Rs. 10,000 ...	5	59,807 13 3	5,156 8 4	14,951 15 4	1,091 4 10	9·1
Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated.	755	...	18,216 9 8	...	24 2 1	...
Total ...	22,728	25,16,013 4 4	3,66,225 6 2	117 6 7	16 1 10	14·6

The aggregate value of suits capable of money valuation was Rs. 25,16,013-4-4 against Rs. 27,72,876-2-0 or a decrease of Rs. 2,56,862-13-8; the costs have also decreased from Rs. 3,88,898-12-10 to Rs. 3,66,225-6-2.

Average value and costs of suits.

35. The average value of suits, the average costs of suits and the percentage of costs to value is contrasted in the following table :—

TABLE XVI.

Grades of value.	1891.			1890.		
	Average value of suits.	Average costs of suits.	Percentage of costs to value.	Average value of suits.	Average costs of suits.	Percentage of costs to value.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Not exceeding Rs. 0 ...	7 2 9	3 1 1	40·5	7 1 11	3 0 1	43·1
Do. 50 ...	29 13 0	5 12 4	19·8	29 3 1	5 9 6	19·5
Do. 100 ...	71 10 9	10 13 7	15·5	70 11 10	10 14 8	15·7
Do. 500 ...	205 6 6	27 5 8	13·7	222 7 1	25 13 2	11·8
Do. 1,000 ...	695 4 8	79 11 10	12·1	628 10 5	79 1 4	13·1
Do. 5,000 ...	1,849 2 9	179 0 10	10·5	1,919 12 11	201 0 2	11·1
Do. 10,000 ...	7,392 10 9	660 5 10	11·2	6,450 2 9	565 5 2	10·
Exceeding Rs. 10,000 ...	14,951 15 4	1,091 4 10	9·1	11,816 12 9	1,255 14 10	10·6
Number of suits the value of which cannot be fixed.	...	24 2 1	...	...	19 7 8	...
Total ...	117 6 7	16 1 10	14·6	110 12 0	14 12 4	14·

Process and Court fees.

36. The total income on account of court and process fees amounted to Rs. 3,41,384-14-0 against Rs. 3,65,412-6-0 in the previous year as will be seen from the following table :—

TABLE XVII.

Court fees.		Difference.	Process fees.		Difference.	Cost of establishment.	
1890.	1891.		1890.	1891.		1890.	1891.
Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a.
2,83,665 6	2,57,422 13	26,242 9	81,747 0	83,962 1	2,215 1	62,134 7	62,565 8

The decrease of Rs. 26,242-9-0 in the court fees is due to the decrease in the number of institutions.

The increase of Rs. 2,215-1-0 is attributed to the increased number of applications for execution of decrees disposed of by the Courts in the Basim, Ellichpur and Wun districts.

E.—*Original Miscellaneous Cases.*

Result of trial of miscellaneous cases.

37. Imperial Statement IX, part II, shows the result of the trial of miscellaneous cases in the different Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts and its information may be tabulated thus :—

TABLE XVIII.

	1891.		1890.	
	No.	Percentage.	No.	Percentage.
Without trial	835	29·5	826	28·9
Without contest	1,157	40·9	1,124	39·4
With contest and judgment for plaintiff	557	19·7	550	19·3
With contest and judgment for defendant ..	279	9·9	356	12·4
Total ...	2,828	100·	2,856	100·

Compared with the previous year there is a slight decrease of 28 cases following on a decrease of 63 cases in 1889.

The figures show that 29·6 per cent. were contested, as against 31·7 in 1890. Of contested cases, 19·7 per cent. were decided wholly or partially in favour of plaintiff.

Number pending.

38. The number of applications which remained pending at the close of 1891 was 638 or 18·3 per cent. of the number for disposal.

Average duration.

39. The average duration of contested cases was 69 days, while that of uncontested was 67·9, against 67·9 and 49·2 respectively.

F.—*Agency for disposal of suits.*

Distribution of civil work.

40. The following table shows how the work was distributed among different grades of officers and different classes of Courts :—

TABLE XIX.

Grades of Court.	1890.		1891.	
	Number of cases decided.	Percentage on total disposal.	Number of cases decided	Percentage on total disposal.
Chief Courts of Districts	1,828	6·8	1,848	8·1
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	5,415	20·6	4,010	17·7
Small Cause Courts	7,628	29·	6,684	29·4
Sub-divisional tribunals	11,460	43·6	10,186	45·8
Total ...	26,331	100·	22,728	100·
Deputy Commissioners	13	·05	14	·07
Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners ...	22,841	86·8	19,967	87·9
Attachés	2,330	8·8	1,594	7·
Tahsildars	112	·42	54	·23
Naib Tahsildars	1,035	3·93	1,099	4·8
Total ...	26,331	100·	22,728	100·

Work done by Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners.

41. According to the custom which has prevailed for years in all our returns, Judges having powers up to Rs. 5,000 are classed as District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts, and Judges with less powers are classed as

sub-divisional tribunals. The bulk of the civil work of Berar is done by Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners

G.—*Execution of Decrees.*

Result of execution proceedings

42. The execution work of the various Civil Courts is shown in Judicial Statement No. XI.

The following abstract shows the increase or decrease in the institutions of applications for execution of decrees in each of the six districts as compared with the year previous:—

TABLE XX.

Districts	Number of applications in 1890.	Number of applications in 1891.	Increase.	Decrease	Percentage of increase or decrease
Akola	7,629	6,192	...	1,437	—19·7
Buldana...	3,943	3,795	...	148	— 3·7
Basim	2,598	3,011	413	..	+15·9
Amraoti	9,493	7,384	...	2,109	—22·2
Ellichpur	4,975	4,054	...	921	—18·5
Wun	2,433	3,349	916	...	+37 6
Total ...	31,131	27,785	1,329	4,675	...
Net decrease ..	..	..	...	3,256	

No reason for increase or decrease is given in the district reports, probably because a table of this kind was not inserted in last year's provincial report.

Of the total number of applications for disposal (27,785), 22,969 or 82·7 per cent. were disposed of in the following manner:—

TABLE XXI.

	1891.		1890.	
	Number.	Percentage	Number	Percentage.
Completely executed .	3,623	15·8	3,960	15·2
Partially executed ..	2,468	10·8	2,827	10·9
Struck off without execution	14,253	62	15,201	58·4
Transferred .	2,625	11·4	4,026	15·5
Total ..	22,969	100·	26,014	100·

Realization in money decrees

43. The realization of two years are contrasted below:—

TABLE XXII.

	1890	1891
	Rs	Rs
With issue of process ...	2,76,426	2,63,419
Without issue of process ...	17,177	13,819
Total ...	2,93,603	2,77,238

Arrest and imprisonment.

44. The details of imprisonment for the two years are abstracted below :—

TABLE XXIII.

	Arrested or under arrest during the year.	Released with imprisonment.	Released after imprisonment.	In jail at the end of the year.
1890	230	146	79	5
1891	214	151	61	2

Sale of moveable and immoveable property.

45. The figures in regard to sale of immoveable and moveable property are abstracted below :—

TABLE XXIV

	Moveable.	Immoveable.
1890	839	862
1891	710	859

Enquiry made during the year has shown that the figures entered in columns 25, 28 and 33 of Provincial Statement No. IV, and columns 14—17 and 22 of Judicial Statement No. XI, are not correct, as different districts and even different Courts in the same district write up the columns differently. This explains why the figures for the Buldana district shown in the table P-I. of last year's report were so different from the figures of other districts. In the Buldana district the judgment-creditor is not considered as a person interested in property within the meaning of section 275, Code of Civil Procedure, and releases made at his request are not entered in columns 25 and 28 of Provincial Statement No. IV and columns 14 and 17 of Judicial Statement No. XI, but in column 33 of the Provincial and 22 of the Judicial Statement. Further enquiry has shown that there are differences in the modes of filling up these columns in other Courts and districts, for example, Basim shows no entries in column 33 of the Provincial and 22 of the Judicial Statement while Akola shows 736, and the Amraoti Small Cause Court shows none while the neighbouring Small Cause Court at Badnera shows 190. It is not possible to have these statements corrected without much trouble and the waste of valuable time, as in order to get correct results it would be necessary to take out all the execution cases and rewrite the registers. At the same time it is useless to make comments on figures which are evidently unreliable.

Time set aside by Courts for execution work.

46. During the year particular attention has been paid to execution work, the Courts being required to set aside particular days and hours for execution; and the hours so set aside being accounted for in the monthly work statement.

Sale of land paying revenue to Government.

47. The following table extracted from Provincial Judicial Statement No. VIII contrasts the action of the Collector in regard to execution of decrees in which the sale of land paying revenue to Government has been ordered :—

TABLE XXV.

years.	Number of instances in which the Collector took steps under section 323, C. C. P. clauses.					Number of instances in which sale of land recommended.		Number of instances sales actually took place.	
	A.	B.	C	D.	E.	Self-acquired	Ancestral.	Self-acquired.	Ancestral.
1890			89	128	325	74	69	34	57
1891			110	130	202	35	57	45	69

It will be seen from the above that in 92 instances the Collector recommended sale of land paying revenue to Government against 143 instances in the previous year, showing a decrease of 51 instances over last year.

The number of instances in which sale actually took place was 114 against 91 in the previous year, an increase of 23 instances.

The bulk of the sales was in the Akola, Ellichpur and Wun districts. Amraoti and Buldana show only four sales each, and Basim shows none.

The alienation of land under clause (D) of section 323, Code of Civil Procedure, is accounted for in columns 10 to 14 of the statement, and requires no comment.

Insolvency proceedings under the Civil Procedure Code and Bankruptcy.

48. The working of the bankruptcy laws and of the insolvency provision of chapter XX of Act XIV of 1882 for the two years is contrasted in the following abstracts:—

Insolvency under Act XIV of 1882.

TABLE XXVI.

Years.	No. of applications for disposal.	No. of applications granted.	No. of applications rejected.	No. of insolvents before the court during the year.	No. discharged.	No. of estates in the hands of receiver.	Amounts of claims unsatisfied at the close of the year.	Amount distributed during the year.
							Rs.	Rs.
1890 ...	23	4	1	5	5	12	66,153	8
1891 ...	26	8	4	8	7	8	32,926	47

Bankruptcy under the Punjab Bankruptcy Rules.

TABLE XXVII.

No. of petitions for disposal.	No. of adjudication.	No. of estates under management.	Total liabilities of all estates under management.	Total value of dividends paid.
84	68	24	15,528	112

The amounts realized and distributed bear a very small proportion to amount of the debts proved.

H.—*Witnesses.*

Attendance of witnesses.

49. The following statement shows the attendance of witnesses during the past two years:—

TABLE XXVIII.

Years.	No. of witnesses who attended.	Period of detention.			No. of witnesses examined.
		For 1 day.	For 2 days.	For 3 days and upwards.	
1891	42,756	37,850	3,617	1,289	24,141
1890	43,511	39,538	3,161	812	23,391

50. The number of witnesses shown as detained for three days and upwards has increased from 812 to 1,289. I do not trust the statistics regarding detention of witnesses. Much correspondence has taken place in regard to the matter during the last two years, and it is still pending till a revision of forms can be taken in hand. No record of attendance is kept, and it is impossible for a statistical writer making an entry on the disposal of a case which has been pending for a year to say what the detention of each witness in the case has been. Either the return should be abolished or detention accurately registered from day to day.

Detention of witnesses.

The figures to be of any value should show the number of attendances as well as the total number of days.

Part II.—Small Cause Courts.

51. There has been no alteration in the number and constitution of the Small Cause Courts during the year. There are 5 Small Cause Courts—Amraoti, Badnera, Akola, Khamgaon and Telhara. The proportion of work done by the Small Cause Courts together has been already exhibited in paragraph 40.

Number of Courts.

52. The following statement shows the number of cases instituted and disposed of in each Small Cause Court as contrasted with the previous year :—

Suits instituted and disposed of.

Original cases.

Table XXIX.

Courts.		Pending from last year.	New institutions.	Number of cases disposed of	Pending.
Akola ...	1890 ...	147	1,577	1,442	282
	1891 ...	282	1,552	1,746	88
Khamgaon ...	1890 ...	54	532	527	59
	1891 ...	59	556	526	89
Telhara ...	1890 ...	113	1,722	1,802	33
	1891 ...	33	1,755	1,700	88
Amraoti ...	1890 ...	107	2,256	2,038	325
	1891 ...	325	1,980	1,777	528
Badnera ...	1890 ...	489	1,419	1,819	89
	1891 ...	89	1,222	935	376
Total ...	1890 ...	910	7,506	7,628	788
	1891 ...	788	7,065	6,684	1,169

Miscellaneous cases.

Table XXX.

Courts.		For disposal.	Disposed of.
Akola ...	1890 ...	159	141
Khamgaon ... Telhara ...	1891 ...	181	144
Amraoti ...	1890 ...	113	95
	1891 ...	164	129
Badnera ...	1890 ...	126	122
	1891 ...	104	83
Total ...	1890 ...	398	358
	1891 ...	449	356

Execution cases.

TABLE XXXI.

Courts.		For disposal.	Disposed of.
Akola	1890 ..	3,296	2,863
Khamgaon			
Telhara	1891 ..	3,043	2,722
Amraoti	1890 ..	2,583	2,349
	1891 ..	2,209	1,883
Badnera . ..	1890 .	1,565	1,363
	1891 ...	1,139	984
Total ..	1890 ...	7,444	6,575
	1891 ..	6,391	5,589

PART III.—APPELLATE COURTS.

Institution of appeals.

53. The figures in regard to original, miscellaneous and special appeals for 1890 and 1891 are contrasted below.

TABLE XXXII.

Name of Court and description of appeal.	Pending.		Instituted.		Otherwise received.		Total for disposal.		Increase or decrease.
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891	1890.	1891	
Judicial Commissioner's Court.									
Regular appeals over 500 of the province. ..	150	117	93	98	4	9	247	224	— 23
Miscellaneous appeals .	96	155	162	190	1	19	259	364	+105
Special appeals .	108	200	165	150	3	6	276	356	+ 80
Civil and Session Judge's Court.									
Regular appeals below 500 Akola .	60	60	157	208	4	5	221	273	+ 52
Amraoti.	63	38	151	190	4	2	218	230	+ 12
Ellichpur	20	25	48	65	...	2	68	92	+ 24
Miscellaneous appeals. Akola ..	3	2	9	4	1	...	13	6	— 7
Amraoti.	4	6	17	11	..	.	21	17	— 4
Ellichpur	2	...	...	4	1	...	3	4	+ 1
Buldana District.									
Regular appeals below 500	3	3	53	82	3	7	59	92	+ 33
Miscellaneous appeals ...	...	...	..	1	...	...	...	1	+ 1
Basim District.									
Regular appeals below 500	81	26	126	131	7	3	214	160	— 54
Miscellaneous appeals ...	4	1	3	8	1	3	8	12	+ 4
Wun District									
Regular appeals below 500...	9	31	62	104	3	12	74	147	+ 73
Miscellaneous appeals ...	1	6	14	5	...	1	15	12	— 3
Total ...	604	670	1,060	1,251	32	69	1,696	1,990	+294

Remarks on the above.

54 This table shows that there has been an increase in the number of appeals during the year under report. The increase in regular appeals in cases not exceeding Rs. 500 in value is general, affecting all districts. The increase is marked in all districts except Basim. No particular reason for increase can be given. The increase in Akola is probably due to the fact that the original Courts in that district were particularly active at the end of 1890 and throughout 1891, and the increase in Amraoti is due to the activity of the Court at Morsi and the Head-quarter Courts at Amraoti, where a special officer was working off old contested cases. The fact that Civil Judges were directed not to try Small Cause Court cases may have contributed to the increase in Ellichpur, Buldana and Wun. In Basim the Civil Judge had never done Small Cause Court cases, and Amraoti and Akola would not be affected by that order, as these districts are served by regularly constituted Courts of Small Causes in which Small Cause Court Judges preside.

Miscellaneous appeals.

55. The increase in the number of miscellaneous appeals in the Court of Judicial Commissioner is due to more careful registration, some applications which count as appeals not having been registered as such in 1890. The details of 190 cases instituted in this Court are—

Ordinary miscellaneous appeals	...	...	15
Applications under section 16 of Small Cause Court	...		82
Applications for revision under section 622, Code of Civil Procedure	...	...	33
Miscellaneous applications counted as appeals	...		60

Distribution of appellate work.

56. The petty appellate work of the Amraoti, Akola and Ellichpur districts was as in the previous year done by the Civil and Sessions Judge. In Buldana the work has as before been divided between the Deputy Commissioner and the Civil Judge. In the Basim district, the appellate work in 1890 was divided between the Deputy Commissioner and the Civil Judge. Since the transfer of Mr. Suraj Narayen in March 1891, the Deputy Commissioner has kept the appellate work in his own hands. He did not entrust it to Mr. Kesheo Rao, who was invested with appellate powers during that officer's tenure of office, and Mr. Kesheo Rao's successor has not been invested with these powers. During the year appellate powers have been conferred on Mr. Price in Wun, but he has done very few cases, and the bulk of the appellate work has been done by the Deputy Commissioner.

Work disposed of and pending.

57. The following table shows the number of appeals for disposal, disposed of and pending at the close of the year 1890 and 1891 in each of the Appellate Courts in the Province :—

TABLE XXXIII.

Courts and description of appeal.	No. of appeals for disposal.		No. of appeals disposed of.		No. of appeals pending disposal.		Of these pending over 3 months		Increase or decrease in pending	Increase or decrease in pending over 3 months.
	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891.	1890.	1891		
Judicial Commissioner's Court.										
Regular appeals above 500	247	224	129	129	117	95	95	94	—22	— 1
Miscellaneous appeals ...	259	364	104	260	155	104	78	27	—51	—51
Special appeals ...	276	356	76	187	200	169	171	165	—31	— 6
Civil and Session Judge's Court.										
Regular appeals below 500. Akola ...	221	273	161	153	60	120	16	60	+60	+44
Amraoti ...	218	230	180	128	38	102	10	38	+64	+28
Ellichpur...	68	92	43	56	25	36	5	12	+11	+ 7
Miscellaneous do. Akola ...	13	6	11	5	2	1	1	...	— 1	— 1
Amraoti ...	21	17	15	10	6	7	...	1	+ 1	+ 1
Ellichpur...	3	4	3	2	...	2	...	...	+ 2	...
Buldana District.										
Regular appeals below 500	59	92	56	81	3	11	...	5	+ 8	+ 5
Miscellaneous appeals ...	...	1	...	1	...	..	...	...	...	...
Basim District.										
Regular appeals below 500	214	160	188	89	26	71	14	55	+45	+41
Miscellaneous appeals ...	8	12	7	...	1	9	...	6	+ 8	+ 6
Wun District.										
Regular appeals below 500	74	147	43	142	31	5	6	...	—26	— 6
Miscellaneous appeals ...	15	12	9	12	6	...	3	...	— 6	— 3
Total ...	1,696	1,990	1,025	1,255	670	732	399	463	+62	+64

Remarks on working.

58. The appellate work has been kept in hand, the pending file has increased in the Court of the Civil and Sessions Judge and in the Court of the Deputy Commissioner, Basim. The increase is temporary and need not cause anxiety. The office of Civil and Sessions Judge was vacant for about a month near the end of the year, and there was an unusual crop of sessions cases to be disposed of in the later months of the year. The Deputy Commissioner, Basim, has been unable to keep down the appeals, working single-handed, owing to the press of other work. It is, I think, difficult for the administration to permanently provide four Civil Appellate Courts besides the Courts of the Deputy Commissioner, and it may be necessary hereafter to reduce the number of Civil Appellate Courts and concentrate all civil appellate work at Amraoti and Akola or at Amraoti alone. The civil appellate work of three districts—Akola, Amraoti and Ellichpur—has now been for $2\frac{1}{2}$ years concentrated in the Court of the Civil and Sessions Judge sitting at Amraoti. The plan has worked well, and the system may be hereafter extended to the remaining districts of the province.

Manner of disposal of appeals.

59. The following table shows the manner of disposal of regular, miscellaneous and special appeals during the year under report;—

TABLE XXXIV.

	Confirmed without notice to respondent.		Dismissed in default or transferred		Remanded for retrial		Decrees of Lower Courts.						Total disposed of.
							Modified		Reversed		Confirmed		
	No	Percentage.	No	Percentage	No	Percentage	No.	Percentage	No.	Percentage	No	Percentage	
Judicial Commissioner's Court.													
Regular appeals over 500	11	8 5	7	5 4	20	15 5	22	17·1	12	9·3	57	44·2	129
Miscellaneous appeals ..	11	4 2	22	8 5	31	11·9	6	2 3	42	16 1	148	56·8	260
Special appeals ..	8	4 3	9	4 8	30	16 0	7	3 8	27	14·4	106	56 7	187
Civil and Sessions Judge's Court.													
Regular appeals below 500 — Akola	23	15·0	8	5·2	20	13·1	15	9 8	21	13 8	66	43·1	153
Regular appeals below 500 — Amraoti	27	21 1	7	5 5	16	12 5	9	7 1	19	14 8	50	39 0	128
Regular appeals below 500 — Ellichpur	10	17 8	4	7 1	11	19·6	5	9 0	9	16 1	17	30 4	56
Miscellaneous appeals — Akola	2	40 0	..	...	1	20 0	...	...	...	.	2	40 0	5
Miscellaneous appeals — Amraoti			...	...	1	10 0	..	...	4	40·0	5	50 0	10
Miscellaneous appeals — Ellichpur	2	100	...	..	.	..		...	...		...	..	2
Buldana District.													
Regular appeals below 500 .	24	29 6	3	3 7	11	13 6	3	3 7	21	25 9	19	23 5	81
Miscellaneous appeals ..		...		.	...	...	..	..	1	100	...		1
Basim District.													
Regular appeals below 500	17	19·1	4	4 5	6	6·7	18	20 2	3	3 4	41	46·1	89
Miscellaneous appeals ..	..	..	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	..	..	...	..
Wun District.													
Regular appeals below 500	1	·7	11	7 8	18	12 7	9	6 3	32	22·5	71	50·0	142
Miscellaneous ..	.	.	2	16 6	..				3	25 0	7	58 4	12
Total for 1891 — Regular appeals	113	14 5	44	5·8	102	13·1	81	10 4	117	15	321	41 2	778
Total for 1891 — Miscellaneous	15	5 0	24	7 9	33	10 9	6	2 0	50	16 6	162	57 6	290
Total for 1891 — Special	8	4 3	9	4 8	30	16·0	7	3 8	27	14 4	106	56 7	187
Total for 1890 — Regular appeals	126	15 8	70	8 8	114	14 3	75	9 3	138	17 2	277	34 6	801
Total for 1890 — Miscellaneous	24	16 1	15	10 0	25	16·7	5	3 4	20	13 5	60	40 3	149
Total for 1890 — Special ...	2	2 6	4	5 2	17	22 4	8	10 5	12	15·8	32	43·5	76

Remarks on the result of appeals

60. This table calls for no particular remarks. A larger percentage of cases in the Court of the Judicial Commissioner have been disposed of without notice to the respondent. Other Courts except that of the Deputy Commissioner, Wun, show a fair percentage of disposals under section 551, Code of Civil Procedure.

61. As the records of the lower Court—are immediately available to the Deputy Commissioner, the Deputy Commissioner might be expected to make free use of this section, and save respondents the trouble and expense of appearing in clear cases. In the Courts of the Judicial Commissioner and Civil and Sessions Judge, the records are not immediately available, and it is doubtful whether too free a use of the section does not in the end entail excessive trouble to the appellant, who has to appear twice before he can get his appeal admitted. In either case there is undoubtedly a waste of the Appellate Court's time in twice considering the case, first, in the presence of the appellant only, and then, if appeal be admitted to second hearing, in the presence of the respondent also. The second hearing cannot be any less full because of the previous *ex parte* hearing.

Average duration.

62. The following table compares the average duration for the years 1890 and 1891 of all the Appellate Courts in the province :—

TABLE XXXV.

Name of Court and description of appeals.	1890.	1891.
Judicial Commissioner's Court.		
Regular appeals	515 1	304·2
Miscellaneous appeals	388·4	236 6
Special appeals	469·8	375·5
Civil and Sessions Judge's Courts.		
Regular appeals	148 7	129·5
Miscellaneous appeals	129 6	120·1
Buldana District.		
Regular appeals	52 9	56·
Miscellaneous appeals	...	57·
Basim District.		
Regular appeals	214·5	235 2
Miscellaneous appeals	391 6	...
Wun District.		
Regular appeals	92·9	60·7
Miscellaneous appeals	81·6	86·8

The average duration of the Judicial Commissioner's Court has improved; the reduction is accompanied with a reduction of the pending file, which shows that arrears have been worked off. The result is good. In Basim there is an increase due to want of a competent Civil Judge to relieve and assist the Deputy Commissioner.

Value and cost of appeals

63 The following table contrasts the aggregate value of appeals, aggregate cost, average value and costs, and percentage of costs to value :—

TABLE XXXVI.

Description of appeals	No of appeals		Aggregate value of appeals		Aggregate cost of appeals		Average value of appeal.		Average cost of appeals		Percentage of cost to value	
	1890	1891	1890	1891.	1890	1891	1890.	1891	1890.	1891	1890	1891
Appeals of which value can be fixed	727	785	Rs 1,90,413	Rs. 1,92,967	Rs. 27,265	Rs 26,249	Rs 267	Rs 255	Rs A P 37 0 0	Rs A P 33 0 0	14 3	13 6
Do cannot be fixed ..	149	180	..	...	3,894	3 728		..	26 0 0	20 0 0	...	...
Miscellaneous ..	149	290	.	...	3,791	3,359	.		25 0 0	11 0 0	..	..
Total ...	1,025	1,255	1,90,413	1,92,967	34 910	33,337	267	255	25 3 9	26 9 4	14·3	13 6

The statement is self-explanatory and calls for no comment.

PART IV.—GENERAL.

Cases inspected by Deputy Commissioners.

64. The following statement shows the number of cases the proceedings in which were called up for inspection by Deputy Commissioners during the year 1891 :—

TABLE XXXVII.

Districts.	No. of cases called up including the balance of last year.	No. of cases transferred to Assistant Commissioner for inspection and report.	No. of cases inspected by the Deputy Commissioner himself.	Result of inspection.				No. of cases pending inspection at the close of the year.
				Cases in which procedure was found correct.	Cases in which errors were pointed out and communicated to officers concerned.	No. of cases ordered to be admitted under the G. N. No. 112-J, of 19th July 1878.	Total.	
Akola	428	388	40	176	252	...	428	...
Buldana ..	438	100	338	356	82	..	438	...
Basim ...	483	...	483	326	157	...	483	...
Amraoti	488	123	365	383	105	...	488	...
Ellichpur .	340	...	340	276	64	...	340	...
Wun ...	356	...	356	319	37	...	356	...
Total ... 1891...	2,533	611	1,922	1,836	697	...	2,553	..
Total ... 1890...	2,123	136	1,987	1,824	299	...	2,123	..

The Deputy Commissioners have inspected more cases than in the previous year. None of the Deputy Commissioners have neglected this important part of their duties.

Inspection work of Judicial Commissioner.

65. In addition to the cases that came before the Judicial Commissioner in regular appeal, 747 cases were called up for inspection and examined. In 687 cases the procedure of the Courts was found correct, and in 60 cases errors of procedure were communicated to the Courts concerned.

Inspection of Courts.

66. The Courts at Ellichpur, Chikalda and Amraoti were inspected by Colonel Mackenzie during the year under report.

Local Bar.

67. Table XXXVIII shows the number of pleaders practising in the several Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts at the end of 1891. During the year two barristers were enrolled and four persons were admitted as pleaders of A class without examination. Six candidates passed the examination by the lower standard during the year under report, out of which four took out certificates. Twelve pleaders died during the year.

68. Two complaints of unprofessional conduct came before the Judicial Commissioner during the year. The explanations of the pleaders have been accepted as satisfactory in both cases. The relations between bench and bar are on the whole satisfactory, and the pleaders as a body help the cause of justice. At times, however, I am afraid individual pleaders take advantage of inexperienced judges and snatch a temporary victory which in the end only causes loss to their own clients.

TABLE XXXVIII.

Districts.	1890.		1891.		Remarks.
	Class A.	Class B.	Class A.	Class B.	
Akola	2	31	3	27	
Buldana	...	17	...	15	*This includes 2 barristers. There are in addition enrolled 7 barristers and 12 pleaders residing without the province.
Basim	..	8	...	8	
Amraoti	12	28	*15	31	
Ellichpur	...	17	...	13	
Wun	...	7	2	6	
Total ...	14	108	20	100	

Office of Judicial Commissioner

69. Colonel Mackenzie held charge of the office of Judicial Commissioner throughout the year, with the exception of a few days at the beginning and a few weeks at the end of the year. All credit for clearing off the arrears on the file of this Court is due to him, and it is owing to his supervision that the work of the subordinate Courts has been well done, as I think it has been.

Quality of work done by Judges.

70. The Judges of Appellate Courts and the subordinate Judges have as a body worked well and I have heard no complaints against them.

Notice of officers.

71. The work is almost entirely done by Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners. For quality of work I would commend Messrs. Suraj Narrain, Rustomji Furdonji, and Bandhuji, Janardhan; for quantity, Messrs. Edulji Sanjana, Deorao Jaikrishna, and Mubarak Ali.

72. My thanks are also due to Mr. Jones, Superintendent of this office, and to Mr. Balaji Rajaram, my Clerk of Court, for good work done during the year and for assistance in the compilation of this report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours most obedient servant,

R. OBBARD,

Officiating Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

APPENDICES.

Judicial Statement No. VII—(Civil).—*Statement showing the number and description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Class of Tribunals.	Suits for money or moveable property.										Suits under the Rent Law.								Title and other suits.																			
	Contract in writing	Contract not in writing.	On account stated	Money had and received.	Goods sold	Wages, work, and materials.	Rent not falling under the rent law.	Moveable property or value thereof.	Damages.	Other suits for money or moveables not already mentioned.	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment or cancelment of lease	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	Relating to distraint.	Damages for extortion or withholding receipts, or on account of illegal restraint or other cause.	For Puttahs or Kabulayats.	For ejectment or recovery of possession.	For recovery of money or accounts from Agents.	All other suits under the Rent Law not included above.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for declaratory decrees.	Other suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property including pre emption, foreclosure, &c.	Suits to declare and establish personal rights.	Suits for an account.	Suits relating to religious endowments.	Suits to set aside judgments, contracts, or obligation on the ground of fraud.	Suits for dissolution of marriage.	Suits for enforcement of matrimonial rights.	Suits for partition.	Suits relating to shipping.	Suits relating to religion and caste	Administration suits.	Inter-pleader suits	Dissolution of partnership	Sections under Section 261, Act X of 1865 (also Hindu Wills Act)	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Grand Total.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																																						
I.—Civil Courts																																						
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	.	...	..	...	...	...	
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	.	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	4,082	1,246	832	50	29	23	36	184	47	52	...	...		..	...	...	...	...	1,053	74	35	469	61	5	...	21	3	65	11	.	...		...	1	...	119	8,498	
Small Cause Courts. ...	6,891	997	2,014	285	224	144	115	154	62	133	...	.	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,919	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	306	214	93	1	4	1	...	20	9	12	...	..	..	...		...	...	..	213	23	5	159	5	3	.	9	6	11	7	.	...	..	...	..	...	28	1,129	
Chief Courts of Districts.	1,146	215	154	..	1	...	...	42	2	.	..	.		...		...	..	..	312	31	1	81	20	5	1	6	2	7	9		..	.	..	2	...	7	2,044	
Total ... 1891	12,425	2,672	3,093	236	258	168	151	400	120	197	...	.	.	...	.	...	..	...	1,578	128	41	709	86	13	1	36	11	83	27	...	.	...	..	3	...	154	22,590	
Total ... 1890 ...	14,169	3,202	3,229	213	311	137	184	444	164	268	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	2,001	157	38	713	112	3	..	36	8	126	90	..	...	1	..	6	...	142	25,754	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VIII—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the number and value of suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Class of Courts.	No. of suits instituted in the different Courts.										Remarks.
	Not exceeding Rs. 10.	Not exceeding Rs. 50.	Not exceeding Rs. 100.	Not exceeding Rs. 500.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total value of suits.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
										Rs. a. p.	
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.											
1.—*Civil Courts.*											
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	624	3,761	1,554	2,089	72	...	...	..	398	6,90,808 5 2	8,498
Small Cause Courts ...	784	5,507	2,688	1,803	137	...	..	...	...	8,40,570 7 2	10,919
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	46	282	170	329	149	26	..	...	127	2,43,317 4 2	1,129
Chief Courts of District	67	605	442	502	125	191	9	8	95	7,95,377 5 9	2,044
Total ... 1891...	1,521	10,155	4,854	4,723	483	217	9	8	620	25,70,073 6 3	22,590
Total ... 1890...	1,652	11,539	5,431	5,516	517	226	13	8	852	31,05,966 12 5	25,754

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IX—(CIVIL.)—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil cases in the Courts of original jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

PART I.— CIVIL SUITS.

Class of Courts.	Total number of suits before the Courts.	Transferred to Courts in other Districts.	Number of suits disposed										Pending at the close of the year	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year	Average duration of suits.		Remarks.
			without trial.	Without contest.				On reference to arbitration.		With contest.					Contested.	Uncontested.	
				Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed ex parte	Dismissed ex-parte.	For plaintiff	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or in part.	Judgment for defendant.						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR																	
I—Civil Courts.																	
Unpaid Tribunals.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	..	...	...	
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...		..	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisioal Tribunals ...	10,222	...	1,006	1,233	2,457	1,304	129	38	13	1,967	679		1,396	467	122·8	53·8	
Small Cause Court	12,456	...	1,093	1,718	3,162	2,524	81	9	..	1,728	379		1,762	492	59·9	43·3	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	1,729	...	215	190	241	110	24	7	1	298	174		369	244	232	112·6	
Chief Courts of Districts ...	2,407	...	138	257	359	491	15	12	1	446	123		559	384	147·8	64·6	
Total ... 1891	26,814	...	2,452	3,398	6,219	4,437	247	66	15	4,539	1,355		4,086	1,587	114·7	51·4	
Total ... 1890	29,323	...	2,529	4,269	7,379	5,020	230	79	20	5,268	1,537		3,491	1,392	84.	41·8	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IX—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil cases in the Courts of original jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS CASES—(JUDICIAL).

Class of Courts.	Total number of cases before the Courts.	Number of cases disposed of.										Pending at the close of the year.	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average duration of cases.	
		Transferred to Courts in other districts.	Without trial.	Without contest.			On reference to arbitration		With contest					Contested.	Uncontested.
				Compromised	Decreed on confession.	Dismissed *ex parte*.	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or in part	Judgment for defendant.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR. I.—*Civil Courts.*															
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	1,659	...	377	29	317	180	60	...	...	304	131	261	67	59·6	45·7
Small Cause Courts ...	631	...	146	20	53	141	19	...	...	74	32	146	27	80·3	37·4
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	392	...	101	4	39	68	8	...	...	75	45	52	7	79·7	75·7
Chief Courts of Districts.	679	...	169	3	112	94	9	...	...	90	68	134	42	69·8	12·7
Total ...	3,361	...	793	56	521	483	96	...	...	543	276	593	143	67·2	63·9
Civil and Sessions Judge, H. A. D. ...	19	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	7	3	6	6	80·2	54
Judicial Commissioner's Court, H.A.D.	86	...	40	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	39	17	26·2	267·5
Total ...	105	...	42	...	...	1	...	...	.	14	3	45	23	156·4	252·8
Grand Total .. { 1891...	3,466	...	835	56	521	484	96	...	...	557	279	638	166	69·	67·9
{ 1890...	3,324	...	826	83	503	456	82	...	...	550	356	468	144	67·9	49·2

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

PART I.—APPEALS FROM DECREES.

Class of Courts.	Total No. of appeals before the Court.	Transferred to Courts in other Districts.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551, C. P. C.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not pro-ecuted.	Head *ex parte*.				Contested.				Pending.	Of these pending more than 3 months.	Average duration of appeals.	Objections under Section 561, Act XIV of 1882.	Remarks.
					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR. *Appeals from Original Decrees.* A.—*Civil Courts.*																	
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. of districts ...	399	...	42	18	2	..	2	1	129	30	54	34	87	60	117·3	...	60
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province ...	595	..	60	19	8	...	1	3	125	29	48	44	258	110	129·5	...	123
Total ...	994	...	102	37	10	...	3	4	254	59	102	78	345	170	119·7	...	183
B.—*Revenue Courts.*																	
Collectors' Appellate Courts.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Courts at the Presidency or Seat of Government.																	
Chief Court of Province. { Appeals from original decrees...	223	...	11	6	9	...	..	3	48	22	12	17	95	94	304·2	...	117
{ Appeals from appellate decrees.	356	...	8	9	8	...	3	...	98	7	24	30	169	165	375·5	...	200
Total ...	579	...	19	15	17	..	3	3	146	29	36	47	264	259	347	...	317
Grand Total... { 1891	1,573	...	121	52	27	..	6	7	400	88	138	125	609	429	192·7	...	500
{ 1890	1,376	...	128	74	12	4	9	6	298	79	141	125	500	317	235·8	2	494

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS—(JUDICIAL).

Class of Courts.	Total No. of appeals before the Court.	Transferred to Courts in other districts.	Decisions confirmed. Section 551, C.P.C	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard *ex parte*.				Contested.				Pending.	Of these pending more than 3 months.	Average duration of appeals.	Objection under Sec. 561, Act XIV of 1882	Remarks.
					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																	
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.																	
A.—*Civil Courts.*																	
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	..	...	...			...	...	...	...	...
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts	22	...	...	2	1	...	...	.	6	.	4	.	9	6	72·9	...	6
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province...	27	...	4	...	..	...	...	1	7	...	4	1	10	1	120·1	...	8
Total ...	49	...	4	2	1	.	..	1	13	...	8	1	19	7	104·7	...	14
B.—*Revenue Courts.*																	
Collector's Appellate Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Courts at the Presidency or Seat of the Government.																	
Chief Court of Province. { Appeals from original decrees. Appeals from appellate decrees. }	364	...	11	22	32	5	21	2	116	1	21	29	104	27	236·6	...	55
Total ...	364	...	11	22	32	5	21	2	116	1	21	29	104	27	236·6	...	155
Grand Total... { 1891 ...	413	...	15	24	33	5	21	3	129	1	29	30	123	34	233·3	...	169
{ 1890 ...	319	...	24	15	6	...	3	3	54	5	17	22	170	82	319·7	...	...

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XI—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the result of proceedings on applications for the execution of the decrees of the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

Class of Courts	Applications disposed of.						Number of applications pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Amount realized.		Number of applications.										
	Total number of applications for the execution of decrees before the Courts.	By transfer.	Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part.	Wholly infructuous.	Pending at the end of the year.		With the issue of process.	Without the issue of process.	On which the judgment-debtor was imprisoned.	On which he was arrested, but released without imprisonment.	On which moveable property		On which immoveable property			On which possession was given		On which specific performance was enforced.	On which partition was effected.	On which execution was effected otherwise than in the preceding columns.
												Was sold.	Was attached, but subsequently released.	Was sold.	Was dealt with under Sections 305, 320, or 326, Act XIV of 1882.	Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275.	Of moveables.	Of immoveables.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
								Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.												
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																					
I.—Civil Courts.																					
Unpaid Tribunals.	..	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...										
Village Courts	...	.	.	...	...	...	.	.	...	..	..	.	.								
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals.	11,598	1,145	1,762	1,124	5,194	2,373	890	1,04,117 1 3	848 6 1	28	46	261	295	610	7	523	11	390	20	10	724
Small Cause Courts	7,951	130	958	680	5,000	1,176	140	35,624 10 11	999 5 7	20	88	256	204		...	...	2	.	1	...	742
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	3,154	969	365	200	1,268	322	209	32,893 13 10	1,797 7 6	2		68		60	1	115	3	70	...	6	147
Chief Courts of Districts.	5,082	381	538	457	2,761	945	420	90,843 13 5	10,174 10 11	7	17	125	35	189		58	3	63	1	7	249
Total ... { 1891	27,785	2,625	3,623	2,468	14,253	4,816	1,659	2,63,419 7 5	13,819 14 1	63	151	710	615	859	8	696	19	531	22	23	1,862
{ 1890	31,181	4,026	3,960	2,627	15,201	5,117	1,796	2,76,425 11 0	17,177 13 0	84	146	839	720	862	9	826	6	451	27	23	2,214

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XII—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the number and result of applications and proceedings under Chapter XX, Act X of 1877, in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 1891.*

Class of Courts.	Application for a declaration of insolvency.								Number of insolvents discharged during the year under Section 355.	Number of insolvents' estates in the hands of receivers in which proceedings were finally closed during the year.	Amount of creditors' claims dealt with during the year.		Gross amount of insolvents' assets realized and disbursed.		Remarks.
	Total number for hearing.	Transferred to another district, withdrawn, &c.	Granted.		Rejected.			Pending at the close of the year.			Admitted.	Satisfied.	Realized during the year.	Disbursed during the year.	
			A receiver being appointed.	A receiver not being appointed.	Penal proceedings under Section 359 not being taken.	Sentence of imprisonment being passed under Section 359.	Applicant being sent to the Magistrate to be dealt with.								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	22	5	...	7	3	..	...	7	...	2	4,130 4 0	4,960 8 9	37 15 0	47 4 5	
Chief Courts of Districts ...	4	...	...	1	1	...	...	2	1	...	...	85 11 9	...	...	
Superior Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ... 1891 ...	26	5	...	8	4	...	...	9	1	2	4,130 4 0	5,046 4 6	37 15 0	47 4 5	
Total ... 1890 ...	23	15	2	2	1	...	...	3	1	1	2,264 8 0	...	75 8 10	8 7 6	

STATEMENT No. I—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing number and value of suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 1891.*

Class of Courts.	No. of suits instituted in the different Courts.																			Total value of suits.	Remarks.
	Value not exceeding Rs. 10.			Value not exceeding Rs. 50.			Value not exceeding Rs. 100.			Value not exceeding Rs. 500.			Value not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Value not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Value not exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Value not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Value exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	The value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total.		
	Suits for money or moveables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.	Suits for money or moveables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.	Suits for money or moveables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.	Suits for money or moveables.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
CIVIL COURTS.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs. a. p.	
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	.....	
VILLAGE COURTS.																					
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunas. — Under ordinary procedure.	560	...	44	3,161	...	391	1,064	...	323	1,307	...	481	13	...	...	...	...	329	7,673	5,62,958 0 10	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunas. — Under Small Cause Court powers.	171	...	...	1,112	...	...	568	...	...	91	...	..	.	...	...	...	...	...	1,942	93,075 9 0	
Small Cause Courts. — As Small Cause Court Judges.	509	...	...	3,381	...	...	1,542	...	...	1,394	...	...	137	...	...	...	...	...	6,963	6,95,745 0 11	
Small Cause Courts. — Under powers of Subordinate Judge.	9	...	11	126	...	83	101	...	66	164	...	137	59	...	...	...	...	69	825	1,27,850 4 4	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under ordinary procedure.	36	...	10	202	...	80	113	...	57	233	...	96	149	26	...	...	..	127	1,129	2,43,317 4 2	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under Small Cause Court powers.	104	...	...	1,014	...	...	578	...	...	318	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,014	1,41,749 13 3	
Chief Courts of Districts. — Under ordinary powers.	47	...	20	499	...	106	388	...	54	397	...	103	125	191	9	8	...	95	2,044	7,95,377 5 9	
Chief Courts of Districts. — Under Small Cause Court powers.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	
Grand Total ... 1891.	1,436	...	85	9,495	...	660	4,354	...	500	3,904	...	819	483	217	9	8	...	620	22,590	25,70,073 6 3	
Grand Total ... 1890.	1,550	...	102	10,821	...	718	4,808	...	623	4,607	...	909	517	226	13	8	...	852	25,754	31,05,966 12 5	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil Cases in the Court of the Original Jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

PART I.—CIVIL SUITS.

Class of Courts.	No of suits before the Courts							No of suits disposed of.													Average duration of suits.					
									Without trial.			Without contest.				On reference to arbitration		With contest.						Contested.			Uncontested.		
	Pending from last year.	Instituted during the year.	Received by transfer from other Courts.	Remanded under Section 562, Act XIV of 1882	Reviewed under Section 626.	Reviewed under Sections 99, 103, 108 or 871.	Total for disposal	Transferred to other Courts.	Plaint rejected or returned	Dismissed for default or want of prosecution	Withdrawn with leave	Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed ex parte.	Dismissed ex parte.	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or part.	Judgment for defendant.	Total disposed of omitting transfers.	Pending at the close of the year.	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year	No of cases (columns 17 to 20).	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days	No. of cases (columns 10 to 16).	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No of days.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Paid Subdivisional Tribunals. — Under ordinary procedure.	1,177	7,728	1,292	62	4	247	10,510	1,324	58	745	179	1,131	2,252	1,197	123	18	5	1,629	589	7,926	1,230	452	2,241	259,881	116·	5,685	300,333	528 3
Paid Subdivisional Tribunals. — Under Small Cause Court powers.	18	1,942	440	...	...	23	2,423	18	16	261	27	335	842	386	31	...	..	265	73	2,236	169	24	338	17,719	52 4	1,898	82,486	43·5
Small Cause Courts. — As Small Cause Court Judges	788	6,963	...	11	8	133	7,903	50	39	518	36	1,161	1,808	1,757	44	7	...	1,081	233	6,684	1,169	411	1,321	85,943	65·	5,363	215,958	40·2
Small Cause Courts. — Under powers of Subordinate Judge.	228	825	9	11	1	6	1,080	44	6	10	8	102	205	107	6	20	8	338	90	900	136	15	456	71,509	156·8	444	21,094	47·5
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under ordinary procedure.	698	1,129	755	26	1	71	2,680	951	13	160	42	190	241	110	24	7	1	398	174	1,360	369	244	580	134,603	232 1	780	87,885	112·7
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under Small Cause Court powers.	63	2,014	341	3	...	29	2,450	252	8	178	10	222	512	381	6	2	...	382	73	1,774	444	57	457	30,112	65·9	1317	72,911	55 3
Chief Courts of Districts. — Under ordinary procedure.	519	1,989	418	20	3	74	3,023	616	10	110	18	257	359	499	13	12	1	446	123	1,848	559	384	582	86,044	147·9	1,266	80,466	63 5
Chief Courts of Districts. — Under Small Cause Court powers.	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total ... 1891 ..	3,491	2?,590	3,255	133	17	583	30,069	3,255	150	1,982	320	3,398	6,219	4,437	247	66	15	4,539	1,355	22,728	4,086	1,587	5,975	685,798	114·7	16,753	861,133	51·4
Grand Total ... 1890 ..	3,377	25,754	3,183	158	19	514	33,005	3,183	186	1,951	392	4,269	7,379	5,020	230	79	20	5,268	1,537	26,331	3,491	13,92	6,904	579,764	84·	19,427	811,104	41·8

Supplemental statement showing the number of Civil Cases called up by the Deputy Commissioner for inspection from the Subordinate Courts during the year 1891.

s-118—c.

Class of Courts.	Number of cases called up including the balance of last year.	Number of cases transferred to Assistant Commissioners for inspection and report.	Number of cases inspected by the Deputy Commissioner himself.	Result of inspection.				Number of cases pending inspection at the close of the year.	Remarks.
				Cases in which procedure was found to be correct.	Cases in which errors pointed out and communicated to officers concerned.	Number of cases ordered to be admitted under the Government Notification No. 112-J., dated 19th July 1878.	Total		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Akola	428	388	40	176	252	...	428		
Buldana	438	100	338	356	82	...	438	...	
Basim	483	...	483	326	157	...	483	..	
Amraoti	488	123	365	383	105	..	488	...	
Ellichpur	340	...	340	276	64	..	340	..	
Wun	356	...	356	319	37	...	356	...	
Total ... 1891 ..	2,533	611	1,922	1,836	697	...	2,533	...	
Total ... 1890 ...	2,123	136	1,987	1,824	299	...	2,123	...	

PROVINCIAL.

SUPPLEMENT TO JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II—(CIVIL). —*Statement showing the general result of Civil Suits disposed of by each officer in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts, during the year 1891*

I—CIVIL SUITS

No.	Name of Officer	Designation	Received otherwise.						Total for disposal	No of suits disposed of												Pending at the close of the year	Of those pending more than 1 month at the close of the year	Average duration of suits					
			Pending from last year	Instituted in this year	Received by transfer from other Courts	Remanded under Section 562, Act X of 1882	Reviewed under Section 626	Reviewed under Sections 99 103, 108 or 171		Transferred to other Courts	Without trial.		Without contest			On reference to arbitration		With contest							Contested			Uncontested.		
											Plaint rejected or returned	Dismissed for default or want of prosecution	With drawn with leave	Compromised	Decreed on confession	Decreed ex parte	Dismissed ex parte	For plaintiff	For defendant	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or part	Judgment for defendant	Total disposed of, omitting transfers			Contested (columns 12 to 24)	Aggregate No of days occupied in trial	Average No of days	Uncontested (columns 12 to 18)	Aggregate No of days occupied in trial	Average No of days
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
		AKOLA DISTRICT.																												
1	Mr Mahomed Yasin Khan	Special Asst Comr	4	32		2			81			4		6	5	7		2		11	5	40			15	5 334	296 3	22	3,158	143 5
2	,, Vishwanath Narayen Dandekar	Extra do		30	1	1			34			3	1	8	6	12		6	1	13	4	54	21	11	24	6,556	273 2	30	2,921	94
3	,, C E Biddulph	Asst Comr		11					11																			1	12	12
4	Captain R D Davies	Do	76	49			1		124					11	10	2		3		3	0	35	14		15	2 612	174 1	23	1,152	50 1
5	Mr Manikshа Rattanji	Extra Asst Comr		78	3	3			85	37	2		1	11	21	10		2		3	42	7	105	8	9	61	13 785	226	45	2,750	61 1
6	,, Rustomji Faridonji	Asst Comr	57	394	36	5		12	429		12	2	3	29	50	17	1	6		100	41	272			148	13 242	89 5	121	4,651	37 5
7	,, Ganesh Bapuji	Extra Asst Comr									1											1						1	37	37
8	,, Azizuddin	Do		75		4		1	80	2	11	6		12	14	6		1		39	19	108			59	2 989	50 7	49	2,133	43 5
9	,, Eknath Moreshwar	Do		54	72			1	135	46	1	15	3	4	14	3	5			22	22	93	94	25	50	7 908	158 2	45	4,208	84 4
10	,, Manikshа Puttanji	Do	25	5				1	29		1	1	1	1	1	1				6	4	13			10	1,297	129 7	5	751	150 2
11	,, Ganesh Bapuji	Do		10	6			1	17	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		5	5	15	6	4	11	4 935	394 1	4	580	145
12	,, Deorao Jevkrishna	Do	126	683		8		3	820		3	8	6	78	17	91	5	7	2	176	74	372	6	2	398	49,374	157 2	367	15,861	43 2
13	,, Syed Muraheeddudin	Naib Tahsildar, Akot	2	296					299			8	2	6	168	31				14	8	229			22	4403	200 1	274	6,676	24 4
14	,, Wasudeo Umakant	Do Balapur	5	141					147			7		39	49	19	2			2	6	142	2	2	29	1 275	44	112	3,403	30 4
15	,, Syed Mahamood	Do Jalgaon	4	243			1	1	250			48	3	4	6	33				48	8	242		1	56	1,564	27 9	192	3,901	20 3
		Total	238	2,011	118	23	2	5	2,546	116	21	124	20	31	57	235	14	35	10	614	273	2,115	271	57	962	110,774	128 5	1,295	52,089	40 2
		BULDANA DISTRICT																												
1	Colonel H C A Szczepanski	Deputy Commissioner																												
2	Kumar Shri Harbhamji Rawaji	Civil Judge	56	1 210	52	4		31	1,353	13	2	60	2	174	226	385	2	1		230	53	1,145	131	35	284	23,866	84	861	37,608	43 7
3	Mr Bandhuji Janardan	Extra Assistant Commissioner	8	44					52			1	1	3	14	5				4	2	29			6	230	38 3	23	528	23
4	,, Ambadas Santu	Do do		41					41			1	1	2		2				4		7			4	212	53	5	163	32 6
5	Lieutenant A G Davidson	Assistant Commissioner		353		1		2	356		1	13	2	45	62	127				33	12	295	61	4	45	2 408	54 8	250	6,407	27 6
6	Mr E Marshall	Extra Assistant Commissioner		15	132	1			148	12	1	4		4	6	1				3	1	19			4	596	149	15	895	59 6
7	,, Do	Do do	65	641		2	2	13	723		4	5	2	79	176	165	11			132	34	601			171	13 060	76 4	430	12 141	28 2
8	,, E Hyderali Khan	Attache, Mulkapur		209	2	8	1	6	221	51	3	10	1	19	84	54			1	40	13	225			54	2 881	53 4	173	4,624	26 7
9	,, Rustomji Faridonji	Assistant Commissioner		192	127	2			321			5	2	30	42	54	2	1		46	10	194	12		56	3,099	55 5	130	5,206	38 5
10	,, Ambadas Santu	Extra Assistant Commissioner	5	412		5		3	425	1		4	3	5	205	43		4	1	30	13	305	192	12	68	2,876	42 3	349	5 773	17
11	,, E Marshall	Do do		125	17				142			1	1	14	42	31				15	1	105	30	7	14	460	33 0	88	1,449	16 5
		Total	134	3 242	330	18	3	55	3,782	313	9	101	48	421	867	869	5	6	2	557	114	3,029	440	58	709	49 748	70 2	2,320	75,294	32 5
		UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT POWERS.																												
1	Mr. Ambadas Santu	Extra Assistant Commissioner	15	942				6	963	17		21	17	208	434	139	3			103	21	916			124	2,703	21 8	823	13,584	16 5
		Total	15	4 184	330	18	3	58	4,745	330	9	122	65	629	1,301	1,008	8	6	2	660	165	3,975	440	5	833	52,151	63	3,142	88,878	28 3
		BASIM DISTRICT																												
1	Mr C Shamrao	Attache, Basim	36	314	13	3		28	394	9	1	50	1	31	77	66				54	16	304			69	5 311	76 9	233	9,550	40 9
2	,, Do	Do Pusad		810	29	1		30	870	6		93	39	171	201	130	13	1		101	31	888	26		123	2,814	21 2	705	10 995	15 6
3	,, Narain Raoji	Naib Tahsildar Mungrul		403				10	413			17	2	5	16	10	2			57	15	413			72	1 063	15	311	3,713	10 9
4	,, Shapurji Virji	Extra Asst Comr, Basim		225	205	1		9	440	229	1	22	3	21	5	25	2			9	8	154	57	14	28	1 914	68	1.6	5 602	44 9
5	,, Do.	Judge, Small Cause Court, Basim		402	220			6	637		13	75	4	57	137	11	1			66	22	455	152	14	84	5 868	66 0	377	22,201	56 7
6	,, Ajudhia Prasad	Extra Asst Comr, Pusad		289	12			5	306	29	1	33	0	69	8	12		3	1	46	19	227			69	1 490	20 4	208	2,176	10 5
7	,, Keshеo Jeykrishna Under ordinary power	Extra Asst. Comr, Pusad	6	1					10	6		1		1						1	1	4			2	84	42	2	56	28
8	,, Do Under S C Court powers			20					20	5		1	1	1						2	2	15			4	34	8 5	11	78	7 1
9	,, Keshеo Jeykrishna	Judge, Small Cause Court	15	321	1			5	344	151	5	43	2	21	81	30	3			15	8	21			23	642	27 9	190	4 722	24 9
10	,, Keshеo Jeykrishna	Extra Asst Comr	45	169	118	6		12	403	48		29	6	24	33	11	4			9	15	142	106	6	35	5,905	16 9	107	12 159	113 6
11	,, Ambadas Santu	Do		49				4		1	1	16	12	13	15	11				19	16	106			35	7,250	207 1	71	7,991	112 5
12	,, Do	Do		4					9				1		2					1		4	2		1	75	75	3	168	56
13	,, Keshеo Jeykrishna	Do		5								3										3						3	247	82 3
14	,, Pandit Suraj Narain	Civil Judge	64	26	48	1	1	18	159	105		6	1	9	4	2	6			17	7	51			24	5,248	218 7	27	2,875	106 5
15	,, C. A. W. Davies	Offg Deputy Comr.		1	17				18	18																				
		Total	366	3,041	670	13	1	127	4,020	670	22	386	78	473	924	508	30	4	1	418	160	3,663	343	36	583	37,615	64 5	2,424	82,894	34 2

	AMRAOTI DISTRICT.																																		
1	Mr. W. N. Bapat	Civil Judge	75	113	94	3	...	8	293	80	1	18	1	9	16	32	2	1	...	19	5	104	109	86	25	5,610	224·4	79	8,809	111·5
2	Do.	Extra Asst. Comr.	115	11	...	1	..	1	128	115	...	2	...	4	4	1	...	...	...	1	1	12	...	...	2	775	387·5	11	798	72·5
3	Captain R. V. Garrett	Asst. Comr.	330	236	190	4	...	20	780	52	2	6	6	3	8	3	7	..	...	5	4	47	...	...	1	3,367	374·1	35	7,109	203·1
4	Mr. Bandhuji Janardan	Extra Asst. Comr.								349	5	16	5	23	16	12	8	..	...	51	21	157	178	101	72	23,956	332·6	85	12,779	150·2
5	,, Ajudhia Persad	Do. do.	...	...	384	...	...	11	395	107	1	36	3	17	...	14	...	2	...	80	52	209	83	81	134	62,583	467·1	71	29,238	411·8
6	,, Simpson	Asst. Comr.	14	...	50	..	...	3	67	...	...	18	3	12	3	16	3	...	...	8	3	65	2	2	11	1,799	163·5	54	8,199	151·8
7	,, Govind Naik	Attache	...	...	46	...	...	...	46	3	...	7	...	5	...	3	...	1	...	22	2	4	...	...	2	6,214	248·5	18	5,423	301·4
8	,, Mubarak Ali	Extra Asst. Comr.	494	86	1	11	...	36	1,411	225	4	186	25	72	273	90	53	..	...	309	119	1,131	55	30	429	71,225	166·4	703	67,786	96·4
9	,, O'Grady	Do. do.								242	6	46	5	31	30	19	17	2	1	73	32	262	319	242	108	32,505	301·8	154	33,539	217·7
10	,, Simpson	Asst. Comr.	272	502	269	6	...	38	1,087	18	1	17	1	9	31	21	5	...	...	22	5	115	...	...	27	6,192	229·3	85	13,615	160·1
11	,, Govind Naik	Attache								3	4	13	7	18	22	71	1	...	...	40	19	131	...	...	51	9,043	153·2	72	9,481	131·7
		Total ...	1,300	1,731	1,034	25	...	117	4,207	1,194	24	365	56	203	406	218	95	6	1	630	263	2,267	746	542	860	223,353	248·1	1,367	196,680	143·8
	UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT POWERS.																																		
1	Mr. Mubarak Ali	Extra Assistant Commissioner ...	...	578	211	...	...	14	803	1	5	162	6	69	261	136	27	...	..	92	30	786	16	5	122	9,083	74·5	664	46,160	69·5
		Total ...	1,300	2,309	1,245	25	...	131	5,010	1,195	27	527	62	272	667	354	122	6	1	722	293	3,053	762	547	1,022	232,436	227·4	2,031	242,840	119·5
	ELLICHPUR DISTRICT.																																		
1	Colonel H. De. P. Rennick	Deputy Commissioner	2	9	...	...	...	1	12	...	...	...	1	2	3	...	...	...	...	2	...	8	4	3	2	673	336·5	6	334	55·7
2	Mr. H. Godwin Austen	Officiating Commissioner	...	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	3	386	128·6
3	,, Do.	Assistant do.	165	79	26	1	...	...	271	24	...	14	2	11	7	2	...	...	...	9	...	45	...	...	9	766	85·1	36	3,347	98·5
4	,, Pundit Suraj Narain	Do. do.	166	555	84	6	1	7	819	334	5	6	2	23	29	23	2	...	...	65	19	174	311	231	81	21,138	251·6	90	13,040	144·8
5	,, Hyder Ali	Attache	14	70	7	3	...	1	95	...	...	4	...	6	16	10	...	...	...	16	1	59	...	...	17	1,610	94·6	36	2,271	63·1
6	,, Govind Naick	Honorary Attache	17	110	...	...	...	1	158	100	3	...	1	8	22	14	...	1	...	8	1	58	...	...	10	263	26·3	48	1,975	28·6
7	,, Vishwanath N. Dandekar	Extra Assistant Commissioner ...	114	14	29	...	1	...	158	...	1	8	7	16	42	29	...	3	...	43	10	158	...	...	57	7,022	127·7	103	9,795	95·
8	,, R. MacGill	Civil Judge	22	58	14	...	...	1	95	...	2	7	2	19	23	16	...	1	...	27	7	93	...	...	35	4,931	140·9	60	6,987	116·5
9	,, Mahomed Ismail...	Officiating Extra Assistant Comr. ...	...	538	95	3	...	7	643	7	12	21	19	163	173	7	1	1	1	172	89	653	221	56	263	46,940	189·9	396	42,452	107·2
10	,, Ahmed Ali	Tahsildar	3	45	...	...	...	1	58	...	...	8	...	20	20	4	...	...	...	2	...	54	4	...	1	115	57·5	52	1,988	38·2
		Total ...	503	1,520	255	13	2	19	2,112	465	23	68	34	262	335	105	3	5	1	344	127	1,307	540	290	477	83,458	174·9	830	82,175	99·
	UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT POWERS.																																		
1	Mr. R. MacGill	Extra Assistant Commissioner ...	...	743	213	3	...	8	967	3	4	35	1	82	196	126	1	2	...	128	24	596	368	55	154	18,912	122·8	442	44,148	99·9
		Total ...	503	3,226	468	16	2	27	3,079	468	24	103	35	344	531	231	4	7	1	472	151	1,903	908	345	631	102,370	162·2	1,272	126,323	99·3
	WUN DISTRICT.																																		
1	Mr. R. D. Hare	Deputy Comr.	4	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	1	468	468·	...	...	...
2	,, A. Elliott	Do.	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	2	...	...	2	594	297·	...	...	...
3	,, F. Prideaux	Asst. Comr.	...	142	117	9	...	3	271	23	...	26	4	11	10	8	...	...	...	74	30	163	85	56	104	13,737	132·03	59	5,287	89·6
4	,, C. H. Price	Civil Judge	...	61	95	3	...	3	162	...	1	6	3	10	19	13	1	...	...	52	19	124	38	15	71	10,955	154·3	53	2,936	55·4
5	,, Do.	Extra Asst. Comr.	45	103	23	5	1	2	179	57	...	8	1	9	22	20	..	1	..	49	12	125	...	...	62	7,186	120·7	60	3,745	62·4
6	,, P. Govind Rauz	Do. do.	128	193	14	10	...	14	359	187	1	5	...	12	29	6	3	...	...	96	26	171	...	...	116	15,368	132·5	56	3,145	56·1
7	,, Subdar Alli Beg	Do. do.	15	371	48	1	...	16	451	42	4	55	10	47	101	82	10	...	..	64	23	396	13	1	8	5,007	57·6	309	12,835	41·5
		Total ...	192	871	297	28	1	38	1,427	311	6	109	18	89	181	129	14	1	...	331	111	989	136	72	449	53,615	121·	537	27,948	52·
	UNDER SMALL CAUSE COURT POWERS.																																		
1	Mr. C. H. Price	Extra Asst. Comr.	48	447	11	...	...	8	514	113	1	46	2	59	74	100	1	...	...	100	18	401	...	...	118	4,801	40·7	283	12,241	43·3
2	,, P. Govind Rauz	Do. do.	...	481	116	...	...	8	605	...	1	53	4	59	153	120	1	...	...	137	21	549	56	2	158	5,723	36·2	391	11,721	30·0
3	,, Subdar Ali Beg	Do. do.	...	20	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	3	...	1	10	1	...	...	...	4	...	15	1	...	4	70	17·5	15	241	16·1
			48	948	127	...	...	16	1,139	113	2	102	6	119	237	221	2	...	...	241	39	965	57	2	280	10,594	37·8	689	24,203	35·1
	SMALL CAUSE COURT, AKOLA.	Total ...	240	1,819	424	28	1	54	2,566	424	8	202	24	208	418	356	16	1	...	572	150	1,942	193	74	723	64,209	88·8	1,226	52,151	42·9
1	Mr. Manikshu Rattacji	Judge, Small Cause Court, Akola ...	282	480	...	...	...	10	772	...	15	34	2	87	141	141	..	...	...	120	19	551	...	...	139	10,300	74·1	420	20,037	47·7
2	, Gunesh Bapuji	Do. do. ...	...	1,037	...	2	4	19	1,062	...	9	103	6	184	371	249	13	2	...	192	58	1,187	88	9	253	14,686	58·3	935	40,204	43·
		Total ..	282	1,517	...	2	4	29	1,834	...	24	137	8	271	512	390	13	2	...	312	77	1,738	88	9	391	24,986	63·9	1,355	60,241	44·5
	SMALL CAUSE COURT, KHAMGAON.																																		
1	Captain R. D. C. Davies	Judge, Small Cause Court, Khamgaon.	59	170	...	...	..	1	230	...	...	...	...	31	38	30	...	1	...	23	2	125	...	...	24	1,401	54	99	3,146	31·8
	Mr. Manikshu Rattanji	Do. do. ...	...	383	...	1	...	1	385	...	1	4	1	77	128	96	...	1	...	87	6	401	89	16	94	9,230	98·2	307	15,323	49·9
		Total ...	59	553	...	1	...	2	615	...	1	4	1	108	166	126	...	2	...	110	8	526	89	16	120	10,634	88·6	406	18,469	45·5
	SMALL CAUSE COURT, TELHARA.																																		
1	Mr. Deorao Jey Krishna	Judge, Small Cause Court, Telhara ...	33	1,750	...	2	..	3	1,788	...	3	26	10	325	546	414	10	...	...	304	62	1,700	88	...	380	16,740	45·7	1,334	29,131	21·8
	SMALL CAUSE COURT, AMRAOTI.																																		
1	Mr. Ardesir Dinshawji Chinoy	Judge, Small Cause Court, Amraoti ..	325	409	...	..	...	6	740	50	2	49	2	85	98	153	...	...	...	70	14	473	..	...	81	3,462	41·2	389	5,427	14·
2	,, Edulji J. Sanjana	Do. do. ...	...	1,571	...	4	...	40	1,615	...	2	160	10	212	280	443	...	3	...	142	52	1,304	528	252	197	21,373	108·5	1,147	69,595	62·9
		Total ...	325	1,980	...	4	...	43	2,355	50	4	209	12	297	378	596	...	3	...	212	66	1,777	528	252	281	24,835	88·4	1,496	75,022	50·1
	SMALL CAUSE COURT, BUDNERA.																																		
1	Mr. E. J. Sanjana	Judge, Small Cause Court, Budnera ...	89	1,162	...	6	...	5	1,311	...	7	142	5	160	266	231	21	...	...	143	20	985	376	134	163	8,748	53·7	772	33,095	42·9
		Total ...	788	6,963	...	15	4	1	903	50	39	518	36	1,161	1,808	1,757	44	7	...	1,081	233	6,684	1,169	411	1,341	85,943	64·1	5,363	215,958	40·3
		Grand Total ... {1891	3,491	22,590	3,255	133	17	5	69	3,255	150	1,982	320	3,398	6,219	4,437	247	66	15	4,539	1,355	22,728	4,086	1,587	5,975	685,798	114·7	16,753	8,61,133	51·4
		{1890	3,377	25,754	3,183	158	19	51	05	3,183	186	1,951	302	4,269	7,379	5,920	230	79	20	5,268	1,537	26,331	3,491	1,392	6,904	579,764	84·	19,427	8,11,104	41·8

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the genera lt of the trial from miscellaneous cases in the several Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS CASES—JUDICIAL.

Class of Courts.	Suits remaining from last year.	Instituted in	Received otherwise.				Total for disposal.	Disposed of.												Total of columns 10 to 20, *i.e.*, disposal of omitting transfers.	Pending at the close of the year.	No. of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average daration of cases in column 21.	
			Receive l by transfer from other Courts.	Remanded under Section 562, Act XIV of 1882.	Revived under Section 62 i.	Revived under Sections 99, 103, 108.		Transferred to other Courts.	Without trial.			Without contest.				On Reference to arbitration.	With contest.						Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.
									Applications rejected or returned.	Dismissed for default or want of prosecution.	Withdrawn with leave.	Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed *ex parte*.	Dismissed *ex parte*.	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or part.	Judgment for defendant.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	....
Paid Sub Divisional Tribunals — Under ordinary procedure	176	1,171	78	...	3	3	1,431	53	150	172	1	24	274	151	47	...	...	224	105	1,148	224	65	57,095	49 7
Paid Sub Divisional Tribunals — Under Small Cause Court powers ...	...	104	...	...	2	1	107	...	9	14	..	4	17	14	2	...	...	...	3	63	44	...	745	11·8
Small Cause Courts. — As Small Cause Court Judges ..	40	406	...	...	...	3	449	..	20	85	...	15	31	100	13	...	...	66	26	356	93	27	20,777	58·4
Small Cause Courts. — Under powers of Subordinate Judge ..	33	254	1	...	...	3	291	4	26	28	...	5	43	29	13	...	...	80	26	250	37	2	12,794	51·2
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under ordinary procedure	71	311	67	...	...	4	453	61	59	41	1	4	39	68	8	...	...	75	45	340	52	7	25,900	76·2
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. — Under Small Cause Court powers ...	3	53	5	..	...	...	61	5	13	3	...	1	1	27	4	..	...	5	2	56		...	1,098	19·6
Chief Courts of Districts — Under ordinary procedure	111	576	33	2	...	12	734	61	92	76	1	3	112	94	9	...	...	90	68	545	134	42	36,999	67·9
Chief Courts of Districts — Under Small Cause Court powers ...	...	19	...	...	...	..	19	...	1	1	...	...	4	..	...	..	...	3	1	10	9	...	243	24·3
Civil and Sessions Judge, H. A. D. ...	..	18	...	...	..	1	19	.	2	...	...	...	.	1	...	...	...	7	3	13	6	6	964	74·2
Judicial Commissioner, H. A. D. ...	34	52	...	..	...	...	86	...	30	8	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	..	47	39	17	12,578	267·6
Total ... 1891 ..	468	2,964	184	2	5	27	3,650	184	402	428	5	56	521	484	96	.	...	557	279	2,828	638	166	169,193	59·8
Total ... 1890 ..	481	2,804	390	2	4	32	3,713	389	420	395	11	83	593	456	82	...	...	550	356	2,856	468	144	157,410	55·1

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. II-A—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing in detail further particulars and distribution of Civil Work in several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

Number.	Districts.	For disposal.				Disposed of.																	Remarks.
		Suits remaining from last year.	Instituted during the year.	Received otherwise as referred to in Provincial Judicial Statement No. XX, Parts I and II.	Total.	Total No. of suits decided during the year exclusive of cases transferred to other Courts.	Percentage of cases.										Average duration.		Number decided by European Judges.	Number decided by Native Judges.	Average number decided by officers of each class.	
							Rejected or returned.	Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn with leave.	Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed *ex parte*.	Dismissed *ex parte*.	By arbitration.	Contested and decided in favour of plaintiff.	Contested and decided in favour of defendant.	Contested cases in favour of plaintiff to the number contested.	In contested cases.	In uncontested cases.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	Akola	111	1,186	169	1,466	1,257	1·	5·7	·9	14·4	26·4	10·9	·7	2·1	28·5	9·4	75 3	128·5	40·2	39	2,118	539·2	
2	Buldana	134	3,242	406	3,782	3,029	·2	3·4	1·7	13·9	28·6	28·7	·1	·2	18·4	4 8	79·4	70·2	32·5	1,017	2,012	257·2	
3	Basim	154	2,296	569	3,019	2,294	·2	11·6	3·1	17·2	30·4	15·6	1·5	·2	14·6	5·6	71·6	66·4	30·4	...	2,294	573·5	
4	Amraoti	1,300	1,731	1,176	4,207	2,267	1·	16·4	2·5	8·9	18	8·6	4·3	·2	28·2	11·9	70·4	248·1	143·8	483	1,784	251·9	
5	Ellichpur	503	1,520	289	2,312	1,307	1·8	5·2	2·6	20·1	25·6	8·	·2	·2	26·3	9·7	73·	175·	99·	151	1,156	163·4	
6	Wun	192	871	364	1,427	980	·6	10 2	1·8	9·1	18·5	13·2	1·4	·1	33·8	11·3	74·9	121·	52·	166	814	140·	
	Total ... 1891...	2,394	10,846	2,973	16,213	11,134	·7	9·1	2·2	14·2	25·6	16 2	1·4	·4	22·2	8·	73·6	148·2	270·9	1,856	10,178	371·1	
	1890...	2,129	13,357	3,246	18,732	13,572	·8	8·6	1·9	14·8	27·6	14·3	1·	·5	23·1	7·4	75·9	109·1	526	2,084	11,488	590·1	
	Civil Judge exercising Small Cause Court powers. Akola ...	228	825	27	1,080	900	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Buldana ...	18	942	3	963	946	...	2·8	1·7	21·9	45·9	14·6	·3	...	10 9	2·4	83·1	21·8	16·5	...	946	946·	
	Basim ...	15	745	241	1,001	713	2·5	16·7	1·	11·1	31·7	20·3	·6	...	11·6	4·5	72·7	56·8	45·7	...	713	356·5	
	Amraoti ..	...	578	225	803	786	·4	20·6	·8	8·8	33·2	17·3	3·4	...	11·7	3·8	75·4	74 5	69·5	...	786	786	
	Ellichpur...	...	743	224	967	596	·2	5·9	·2	13·8	32·9	21·1	·2	·3	21·4	4	84·2	122 8	99·9	596	...	596	
	Wun ...	48	948	143	1,139	969	·2	10·5	·6	12·3	24·5	22·8	·2	...	24·9	4·	86·1	37·8	35·1	...	969	323	
	Total ... 1891...	309	4,781	863	5,953	4,910	·6	9·2	·9	13·4	31·7	17·8	8	·6	20·	5·	87·9	95·4	49·	596	3,414	613·7	
	1890...	338	4,935	526	5,799	5,131	·6	6·	1·6	15·1	32·1	23·3	·6	·1	16·9	3·7	82	35·6	28·7	11	5,120	641·4	
	Small Cause Courts.																						
1	Akola	282	1,517	35	1,834	1,746	1·4	7 9	·5	15·5	29·3	22·3	·7	·1	17·9	4·4	80·3	63·9	44 5	...	1,746	1,746	
2	Khamgaon	59	553	3	615	526	·2	8·	·2	20·5	31·6	23·9	...	·4	20·9	1·5	93 3	88 6	45·5	125	401	263	
3	Telhara	33	1,750	5	1,788	1,700	·2	1·5	·6	19·1	32·1	24·4	·6	...	17·9	3·6	83·1	45·7	21·8	..	1,700	1,700	
4	Amraoti	325	1,980	50	2,355	1,777	·2	11·7	·6	16·7	21·2	33·3	...	·1	11·9	3·7	76·2	88·4	50·1	...	1,777	1,777	
5	Badnera	89	1,163	59	1,311	935	·8	15·2	·5	17·1	22·	24·7	2·3	...	15·2	2·2	87·7	53·6	42·8	...	935	935	
	Total... 1891...	788	6,963	152	7,903	6,684	·6	7·7	·5	17·4	27·	26·3	·7	·1	16·2	3·5	82·3	65·1	40·3	125	6,559	1336·1	
	1890...	910	7,462	102	8,474	7,628	·6	6 3	·6	19·6	26	24·6	·7	·3	16·6	4·6	78·3	51·	33·7	1,397	6,231	1271·3	
	Grand Total.. 1891...	3,491	22,590	3,988	30,069	22,728	·7	8·7	1·4	15·	2	19·6	1·1	·3	20·	5·9	77·	114·7	51·4	2,577	20,151	528·3	
	1890...	3,377	25,754	3,874	33,005	26,331	·7	7·4	1·5	16·2	28	19·	·9	·3	20·1	5·9	77·4	84·	41·8	3,492	22,839	711·6	

PRO INCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the business c he Civil Appellate Court in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

PART I— PPEALS FROM DECREES.

Class of Courts.	Appeals remaining from last year.	Instituted in.	Otherwise received.				Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551.	Dismissed for default, Sections 556, 557, or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard *ex parte*.				Contested.				Total disposed of omitting transfers.	Pending.	Of those pending more than three months.	Average duration of appeals in column 20.		Objection under Section 561, Act XIV of 1882.	Remarks.
			Received by transfer from other Courts.	Reviews under Section 626, Act XIV of 1882.	Remands under Section 562.	Revivals under Sections 558, 560.					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded under Section 562.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded under Section 562.				Aggregate No. of days the appeals were pending.	Average No. of days pending.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.																									
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts.																									
Buldana	3	82	1	...	4	2	92	...	24	3	1	...	1	1	18	3	20	10	81	11	5	4,534	56·	...	
Basim	26	131	29	..	1	2	189	29	17	4	..	..	...	...	40	18	3	6	89	71	55	20,932	235·2	...	
Wun	31	104	...	...	9	3	147	...	1	11	...	...	1	...	71	9	31	18	142	5	...	8,615	60·7	..	
Civil and Sessions Judge. Akola ...	60	208	...	...	1	4	273	...	28	8	..	...	..	1	63	15	21	19	153	120	60	20,127	131·6	...	
Civil and Sessions Judge. Amraoti ...	38	190	...	...	1	1	230	...	27	7	..	...	...	...	49	9	19	16	128	102	38	16,583	129 6	...	
Civil and Sessions Judge. Ellichpur ..	25	65	...	...	...	2	92	...	10	4	4	...	1	2	13	5	8	9	56	36	12	6,923	123·6	...	
Total ...	183	780	30	...	16	14	1,023	29	102	37	10	...	3	4	254	59	102	78	649	345	170	77,714	119·7	...	
Judicial Commissioner's Court.																									
Appeals from original decrees.	117	98	...	3	...	6	224	1	11	6	9	...	...	3	48	22	12	17	128	95	94	37,419	304·2	...	
Appeals from appellate decrees.	200	150	...	1	...	5	356	...	8	9	8	...	3	...	98	7	24	30	187	169	165	69,102	375·5	...	
Total ...	317	248	...	4	...	11	580	1	19	15	17	...	3	3	146	29	36	47	315	264	259	106,521	347·	...	
Grand Total ... 1891 ...	500	1,028	30	4	16	25	1,603	30	121	52	27	...	6	7	400	88	138	125	964	609	429	184,235	192·7	...	
Grand Total ... 1890 ...	494	855	...	3	6	19	1,377	1	128	74	12	4	9	6	298	79	141	125	876	500	317	206,519	235·8		2

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Court in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

PART II.—APPEALS FROM DECREES.

Class of Courts.	Appeals remaining from last year.	Instituted in.	Otherwise received.				Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551.	Dismissed for default, Sections 556, 557, or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard *ex parte*.				Contested.				Total disposed of omitting transfers	Pending.	Of those pending more than three months.	Average duration of appeals in column 20		Objections under Section 561, Act XIV of 1882	Remarks.
			Received by transfer from other Courts.	Reviews under Section 626, Act XIV of 1882.	Remands under Section 562.	Revivals under Sections 558, 560.					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded under Section 562.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded under Section 562.				Aggregate No. of days the appeals were pending.	Average number of days pending.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.																									
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts.																									
Buldana	...	1	...		...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	57	57·	...	
Basim	1	8	3	...	...	...	12	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	6	...	..	...	
Wun	6	5	...	..	...	1	12	...	...	2	1	...	...	...	6	...	3	..	12	...	..	1,042	86·8	...	
Civil and Sessions Judge, H.A.D. Akola	2	4	...	...	...	...	6	..	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	5	1	..	641	128·2	...	
Civil and Sessions Judge, H.A.D. Amraoti	6	11	...	...	...	...	17	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	4	1.	10	7	1	1,228	122·8	...	
Civil and Sessions Judge, H.A.D. Ellichpur	...	4	...	...	...	...	4	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	2	2	...	173	86·5	...	
Total ...	15	33	3	...	...	1	52	3	4	2	1			1	13	...	8	1	30	19	7	3,141	104·7	...	
Judicial Commissioner's Court.																									
Appeals from original decrees. / Appeals from appellate decrees.	155	190	...	...	...	19	364	...	11	22	32	5	21	2	116	1	21	29	260	104	27	64,527	236·6	...	
Total ...	155	190	...	...	...	19	364	...	11	22	32	5	21	2	116	1	21	29	260	104	27	64,527	236·6	...	
Grand Total ... 1891 ...	170	223	3	...	...	20	416	3	15	24	33	5	21	3	129	1	29	30	290	123	34	67,668	233·3	...	
Grand Total ... 1890 ..	110	205	...	...	...	4	319	...	24	15	6	...	3	3	54	5	17	22	149	170	82	47,634	319·7	...	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III-A—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the value and costs of appeal decided by the Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

Districts.	Total number disposed of.		Aggregate costs of appeals.			Average costs of appeals.			Percentage of costs to value.			Average value of appeals.	Remarks.
	Appeals.	Value.	Necessary.	Voluntar	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total	Necessary.	Voluntary	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES OF WHICH VALUE CAN BE FIXED.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.	Refiled cases.
Wun	87	9,841 14 6	1,088 4 0	234 4 0	1,322 8 0	12 8 2	2 11 1	15 3 3	11·05	2·4	13·4	124 9 4	8
Buldana	78	8,775 10 4	967 9 0	419 11 3	1,387 4 3	12 6 5	5 6 2	17 12 7	11·02	3 2	14·2	115 7 6	2
Basim	74	6,304 10 0	825 4 0	306 5 0	1,131 9 0	11 2 5	4 2 3	15 4 8	13·1	4·9	18·	85 3 2	1
Civil and Sessions Judge { Amraoti ..	113	13,135 1 4	1,470 15 0	740 9 11	2,211 8 11	13 0 3	6 8 10	19 9 6	11·2	5·7	16·9	116 3 10	...
Civil and Sessions Judge { Ellichpur ...	51	7,831 5 10	743 10 0	361 4 11	1,104 14 11	14 9 3	7 1 4	21 10 7	9 5	4·6	14·1	157 12 7	2
Civil and Sessions Judge { Akola	139	16,529 9 9	1,952 6 0	1,047 1 6	2,999 7 6	14 0 9	7 8 6	21 9 3	11·8	6·4	18·2	122 7 0	4
Total ...	542	62,418 3 9	7,048 0 0	3,109 4 7	10,157 4 7	13 0 1	5 11 9	18 11 10	11·2	5·	16·2	118 14 3	17
APPEALS OF WHICH VALUE CANNOT BE FIXED													
Wun	55	...	778 13 0	238 2 0	1,016 15 0	14 2 7	4 5 3	18 7 10	...	...	...	...	...
Buldana	3	...	48 14 0	26 8 9	75 6 9	16 4 8	8 13 7	25 2 3	...	...	...	...	...
Basim	15	...	174 8 0	67 5 0	241 13 0	11 10 2	4 7 9	16 1 11	...	...	...	...	..
Civil and Sessions Judge { Amraoti ...	15	...	195 13 0	81 13 2	277 10 2	13 0 10	5 7 3	18 8 1	...	...	...	...	...
Civil and Sessions Judge { Ellichpur ...	5	.	92 10 0	51 5 7	143 15 7	18 8 5	10 4 4	28 12 9	...	...	...	...	...
Civil and Sessions Judge { Akola	14	...	184 7 0	74 9 9	259 0 9	13 2 10	5 5 3	18 8 1	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	107	...	1,475 1 0	539 12 3	2,014 13 3	13 12 7	5 0 8	18 13 3	...	..	...	...	...
MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS.													
Wun	12	...	36 9 0	13 0 0	49 9 0	3 0 9	1 1 4	4 2 1	...	...	...	...	..
Buldana	1	...	3 8 0	0 14 0	4 6 0	3 8 0	0 14 6	4 6 0	..	...	...	...	...
Civil and Sessions Judge { Amraoti ...	10	...	27 7 0	15 2 10	42 9 10	2 11 11	1 8 3	4 4 2	...	...	...	...	...
Civil and Sessions Judge { Ellichpur ...	2	...	3 11 0	7 12 7	11 7 7	1 13 6	3 14 3	5 11 9	...	...	...	...	...
Civil and Sessions Judge { Akola	5	...	17 10 0	10 5 4	27 15 4	3 8 4	2 1 2	5 9 6	...	...	...	...	...
Total ..	30	...	88 13 0	47 2 9	135 15 9	2 15 4	1 9 2	4 8 6	...	...	...	..	...
JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER'S COURT.													
Appeals from original decrees of which value can be fixed.	105	1,11,865 7 3	7,372 3 0	5,147 7 1	12,519 10 1	70 3 4	49 0 4	119 3 8	6·6	4·6	11·2	1,165 4 3	
Do. of which value cannot be fixed ...	23	...	449 2 0	270 2 0	719 4 0	19 8 5	11 11 11	31 4 4	...	..	...	...	...
Do. appellate decrees of which value can be fixed.	138	18,684 2 4	2,145 0 0	1,427 13 10	3,572 13 10	15 8 8	10 5 6	25 14 2	11·5	7·7	19·2	140 7 8	
Do. of which value cannot be fixed ...	49	...	616 2 0	378 6 4	994 8 4	12 9 2	7 11 7	30 4 9	...	...	...	. .	...
Micellaneous appeals	260	...	1,951 8 8	1,272 0 6	3,223 9 2	7 8 1	4 14 3	12 6 4	...	...	...	. .	...
Total ...	575	1,30,549 9 7	12,533 15 8	8,495 13 9	21,029 13 5	21 12 9	14 12 5	36 9 2	9·6	6·5	16·1	570 1 4	14
Grand Total ... { 1891 ..	1,254	1,92,967 13 4	21,145 13 8	12,192 1 4	33,337 15 0	16 13 9	9 11 7	26 9 4	11·	6·3	17·3	255 4 0	31
Grand Total ... { 1890 ...	1,025	1,90,413 10 2	19,799 15 6	15,140 1 4	34,940 0 10	19 5 1	14 12 4	34 1 5	10·4	8·	18·4	188 5 6	14

Supplemental statement showing the value and costs of appeals decided in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.

Value of appeals	No. of appeals disposed of.	Aggregate value of appeals.	Aggregate cost of appeals	Average value of appeals	Average cost of appeals	Percentage of costs to value.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
Not exceeding Rs. 10	33	218 8 0	192 15 9	6 13 3	5 13 7	88 3 1	
Do. 50	183	6,227 13 3	1,700 4 8	34 12 8	9 3 9	27·1 4	
Do. 100	183	13,962 12 9	2,710 12 8	78 14 2	14 13 0	19·4 6	
Do. 500	318	70,579 7 0	10,569 6 11	230 10 5	33 3 10	14·6 12	
Do 1,000	28	17,464 6 4	2,441 3 0	698 9 3	87 3 0	13·4 3	
Exceeding 1,000	40	84,514 14 0	8,635 1 6	2,284 3 0	215 14 0	10·2 3	
Total ..	785	1,92,967 13 4	26,249 12 6	255 4 0	33 7 0	13 6 29	
Appeals of which value cannot be fixed.	179	...	3,728 9 7	...	20 13 3	... 2	
Miscellaneous appeals	290	..	3,359 8 11	...	11 9 4	... ...	
Grand Total ... 1891 ...	1,254	1,92,967 13 4	33,337 15 0	255 4 0	26 9 4	17·3 31	
1890 ..	1,025	1,90,413 10 2	34,940 0 10	188 5 6	34 1 5	18 4 14	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IV—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the result of proceedings on applications for the execution of decrees in the several Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

Class of Courts	No of applications dealt with.					Applications disposed of						Details of entries in column 9						Amount realized		No of applications										Remarks
	Pending at the end of year	Filed: The decrees being those of the Court where execution is taken out	Filed: The decrees being those of other Courts transferred for execution	Restored to the file for any cause	Total	Transferred: To another Court, under Section 223	Transferred: To the Collector, under Section 230	Wholly or partly satisfied	Wholly infructuous	Total	Pending at the end of the year	No of applications pending more than three months at the close of the year	Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part	Satisfaction obtained through the Court	Adjusted under Section 258	Satisfaction obtained with the issue of process	Satisfaction otherwise than with the issue of process	With the issue of process	Without the issue of process	On which judgment debtor was imprisoned	On which he was arrested under Section 336, but released without imprisonment	On which moveable property: Was sold	On which moveable property: Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 274	On which immoveable property: Was sold.	On which immoveable property: Was dealt with under Sections 305, 325, or 326	On which immoveable property: Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 276	On which specific performance was enforced.	On which possession was given: Of moveable, Section 260	On which possession was given: Of immoveable, Sections 263 and 264	On which partition was effected, Section 265	On which execution was effected otherwise than in the preceding columns.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
COURTS IN THE INFERIOR CIVIL COURTS.																			Rs a p	Rs a p													
Final Sub-Divisional Tribunals. Under ordinary procedure	1,393	6,427	1,709	.	9,529	718	225	2,364	4,141	7,448	2,081	815	1,471	893	1,839	525	2,430	34	79,112 12 10	826 9 1	27	41	220	240	407	4	313	18	7	311	7	567	
Final Sub-Divisional Tribunals. Under Small Cause Court powers	11	913	56	.	980	23		155	495	673	307	30	117	38	123	32	155		4,353 7 9		1		28	7								66	
Small Cause Courts. As Small Cause Court Judges	869	5,396	136		6,391	7		1,374	4,208	5,589	802	106	747	627	504	870	1,337	37	28,311 9 0	842 5 7	25	88	206	174				1	2			597	
Small Cause Courts. Under powers of Subordinate Judge	399	1,412	256	2	2,069	25	177	522	1,053	1,777	292	75	291	231	403	119	516	6	25 004 4 5	21 13 0	1	5	41	55	203	3	211	2	4	79	3	157	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. Under ordinary procedure	1,138	1,410	604	2	3,154	912	57	565	1,228	2,832	329	209	365	200	382	183	544	21	32 833 13 10	1,797 7 6	2		68	81	63	1	115		3	76	6	147	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. Under Small Cause Court powers	57	430	81		574	96		115	296	507	67	4	94	21	70	36	112	3	2,959 9 9	157 0 0			22	23								79	
Chief Court of District. Under ordinary procedure	1,244	3,420	405	7	5,082	170	211	995	2,761	4,137	945	420	538	457	709	286	975	20	90,838 5 8	10,174 10 11	7	17	124	35	189		58	1	3	65	7	249	
Chief Court of District. Under Small Cause Court powers	6				6	4		1	1	6				1	1			1	5 7 9				1										
Total 1891	5,117	19,410	3,247	11	27 785	1,955	670	6 091	14,253	22,969	4,816	1 659	3,623	2,468	4,040	2 051	5,970	121	2 83,419 7 5	13,819 14 1	63	151	710	615	859	8	696	22	19	531	23	1,962	
Total 1890	5,544	20,737	4,832	22	31,131	3,190	836	6,787	15,201	26,014	5,117	1,796	3,960	2,827	4 104	2,683	6,641	146	2,70,125 11 0	17,177 13 0	84	146	839	720	862	9	826	27	6	451	23	2,214	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No V—(CIVIL).—*Proceedings in insolvency under Chapter XX of Act XIV of 1882 in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.*

PART I.—*Showing the number and the result of applications under section 344 and the number of Insolvents before the Court.*

Class of Courts.	Applications for a declaration of insolvency.															Persons declared to be insolvents.									
	Pending at the close of the previous year.	Number of applications dealt with.					Applications disposed of.								Pending at the close of the year.	No. of insolvents before the Court.			Disposed of.				Remaining at the close of the year.	Remarks.
		Filed during the year					Transferred.	Withdrawn or otherwise not prosecuted.	Granted.		Rejected.					Remaining at the close of the previous year.	Declared to be insolvents during the year.	Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred.	Died	Discharged			
		By judgment debtors.		By decree-holders.	Received by transfer.	Total.			A receiver being appointed.	A receiver not being appointed.	Penal proceedings under section 359 not being taken.	Sentence of imprisonment being passed under section 359	Applicant being sent to the Magistrate to be dealt with.	Total.							Under section 351.	Under section 355.		
		Under arrest or imprisonment.	Not under arrest or imprisonment.																						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts specially empowered ...	3	17	2	...	...	22	...	5	...	7	3	...	..	15	7	...	7	...	7	...	...	6	..	1	
Chief Courts of Districts	...	2	2	...	...	4	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	2	2	..	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	
Superior Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total .. 1891...	3	19	4	...	...	26	...	5	...	8	4	...	...	17	9	. .	8	...	8		...	6	1	1	
Total .. 1890...	6	10	7	...	1	24	1	15	2	2	1	...	...	20	3	1	4	...	5		...	4	1	...	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. V—(CIVIL).—*Proceedings in insolvency under C. ... XX of Act XIV of 1882 in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.*

PART II.—*Showing the number of estates in the hands of receivers and the progress made in winding them up.*

Name of Court.	No. of insolvents' estates in the hands of receivers.				Amount of creditors' claims dealt with during the year.					Amount of insolvents' assets realized and outstanding.				Remarks.
	Pending at the close of the previous year.	Placed in charge of a receiver during the year.	No. of estates in regard to which proceedings were finally closed during the year.	Pending at the close of the year.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the previous year.	Admitted.	Disallowed.	Satisfied.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the year.	Amount of realized assets in the hands of receivers at the close of the previous year.	Gross amount realized during the year	Total amount of disbursements.	Amount remaining in the hands of receivers at the close of the year.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts specially empowered ...	4	...	2	2	43,535 9 1	4,130 4 0	6,919 8 0	4,960 8 9	12,897 2 0	370 2 6	37 15 0	47 4 5	360 13 1	
Chief Courts of Districts ..	6	...	...	6	20,125 1 9	...	..	85 11 9	20,039 6 0	...	...	..	...	
Superior Courts ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ... 1891 ..	10	..	2	8	63,660 10 10	4,130 4 0	6,919 8 0	5,046 4 6	32,936 8 0	370 2 6	37 15 0	47 4 5	360 13 1	
Total ... 1890 ...	12	1	1	12	63,888 2 7	2,264 8 0	17,833 11 3	...	66,152 10 7	437 5 2	75 8 10	8 7 6	504 6 6	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VI—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the value and cost of original suits and miscellaneous cases (Judicial) decided in the several districts during the year* 1891.

No.	Districts.	Total number disposed of and value.		Aggregate cost of suits and miscellaneous cases.			Average costs of suits and miscellaneous cases.			Percentage of costs to value of suits.			Average value of suits.	Refiled cases.
		Suits.	Value.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	ORIGINAL SUITS OF WHICH VALUE CAN BE FIXED.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.	
1	Akola	2,049	4,03,240 11 2	42,865 10 4	19,737 11 10	62,603 6 2	20 14 9	9 10 1	30 8 10	10·7	4·8	15·5	204 11 1	79
2	Buldana	2,971	3,77,969 0 2	35,344 7 0	13,998 10 2	49,343 1 2	11 14 4	4 11 4	16 9 8				129 14 8	54
3	Basim	2,263	1,75,835 0 6	20,974 1 0	4,543 7 0	25,517 8 0	9 4 4	2 0 1	11 4 5	11·9	2·6	14·5	81 5 11	102
4	Amraoti	1,974	3,80,318 11 10	38,305 14 8	12 180 14 8	50,486 13 4	19 6 5	6 2 1	25 2 1	10·	3·2	13·2	201 14 7	118
5	Ellichpur	1,200	1,80,931 15 3	18,878 3 0	7,043 3 0	25,921 6 0	15 11 8	5 13 10	21 9 6	10 4	3·9	14·3	152 13 0	16
6	Wun	822	2,05,688 5 0	20,207 12 0	6,552 1 1	26,759 13 1	24 9 4	7 15 6	32 8 10	9 8	3·2	13·	262 4 0	37
	Total ..	11,279	17,23,983 11 11	1,76,576 0 0	64,055 15 9	2,40,631 15 9	16 3 10	5 10 10	121 14 8	10 2	3 7	13·9	158 8 11	406
	SUITS OF WHICH VALUE CANNOT BE FIXED.													
1	Akola	106	.	1,976 7 0	726 4 3	2,702 11 3	18 4 10	6 11 7	25 0 5	...	..	...	...	...
2	Buldana	58	..	666 1 0	197 1 6	863 2 6	11 7 8	3 6 5	14 10 1	.	..	...	..	3
3	Basim	31	...	524 7 0	179 9 0	704 0 0	16 14 8	5 12 8	22 17 4	.	..	...	..	1
4	Amraoti	293	...	5,376 9 3	1,025 7 11	6,402 0 11	18 5 7	3 8 0	21 13 7	.	..	..	.	19
5	Ellichpur	107	...	2,473 8 0	1,021 4 0	3,494 12 0	23 1 10	9 8 8	32 10 6	...		...		2
6	Wun	158	...	3,304 5 0	745 10 0	4,049 15 0	20 14 6	4 11 7	25 10 1	..		..		
	Total ..	755	...	14,321 5 0	3,895 4 8	18,216 9 8	18 15 6	5 2 7	24 2 1			...	..	24
	MISCELLANEOUS CASES.													
1	Superior towns	60	..	100 8 0	..	100 8 0	1 10 9		1 10 9	..	...	.	.	...
2	Akola	475	...	1,680 3 0	1,175 3 5	2,855 6 5	3 8 7	2 7 7	6 0 2	..	...	..	.	...
3	Buldana	425	...	598 5 0	197 5 10	795 10 10	1 6 6	0 7 5	1 13 11	...	...	.	...	2
4	Basim	354	...	279 6 0	53 14 0	333 4 0	0 12 8	0 2 5	0 15 1	...	...		...	...
5	Amraoti	583	...	1,480 5 0	881 9 3	2,361 14 3	2 9 11	1 8 11	4 2 10	...	..		...	...
6	Ellichpur	242	...	692 6 0	176 12 0	869 2 0	2 13 9	0 11 8	3 9 5	...	..		.	...
7	Wun	222	...	559 14 0	120 2 0	680 0 0	2 8 4	0 8 8	3 1 0	...	.		.	...
	Total ..	2 343	...	5,390 15 0	2,604 14 6	7,995 13 6	2 4 9	1 1 9	3 6 6		...	...	.	2
	SMALL CAUSE COURTS.													
1	Akola	1,746	1,44,997 0 1	17,155 15 0	4,743 13 4	21,899 12 4	9 13 2	2 11 6	12 8 8	11·8	3·3	15 1	84 12 8	36
2	Khamgaon	52	59,041 1 2	6,580 11 6	300 4 0	6,880 15 6	12 8 2	0 9 2	13 1 4	11·1	·5	11·6	112 3 11	...
3	Telhara	1,700	1,20,023 14 0	14 089 2 0	4,846 6 0	18,935 8 0	8 4 7	2 13 7	11 2 2	11·7	4·1	15 8	70 13 8	6
4	Amraoti	1,777	1,70,451 11 3	17,114 2 3	717 6 0	17,831 8 3	9 10 1	0 6 6	10 0 7				95 14 2	22
5	Murtsi and Budnera	1,721	94,305 8 3	11,931 4 3	656 15 11	12,588 4 2	6 14 11	0 6 1	7 5 0	12·6	·7	13·7	56 7 6	51
6	Buldana	946	38,504 3 6	4,485 4 0	1,609 12 0	6,095 0 0	4 11 10	1 11 8	6 7 1				40 12 7	2
7	Basim	713	62,094 10 8	7,358 15 0	2,010 15 0	9,369 14 0	10 5 2	2 13 1	13 2 3	11 9	3·4	15·3	83 7 8	11
8	Ellichpur	596	65,627 14 0	4,622 14 0	315 8 0	4,938 6 0	7 12 1	0 8 5	8 4 6	10·1	·7	10·8	76 9 0	...
9	Wun	969	56,963 9 6	7,578 9 0	1,258 15 6	8,837 8 6	7 13 2	1 4 9	9 1 11	13·3	2·2	15·5	59 6 8	10
	Total ..	10,694	7,92,029 8 5	90,916 13 0	16,459 15 9	1,07,376 12 9	8 8 0	1 8 8	10 0 8	11·5	2·1	13·6	75 6 0	138
	MISCELLANEOUS CASES.													
1	Akola	86	...	158 1 0	19 3 10	177 4 10	1 15 7	0 3 11	2 3 6		..	...	...	...
2	Khamgaon	25	..	110 7 0	3 8 0	113 15 0	4 6 8	0 2 3	4 8 11		...	...	...	...
3	Telhara	39	...	135 3 0	36 7 0	171 10 0	3 7 6	0 14 11	4 6 5	.	...	...	..	...
4	Amraoti	129	...	235 10 0	14 9 0	250 3 0	1 13 3	0 1 10	1 15 1	..	...	...	...	...
5	Budnera and Morsi	118	...	120 7 0	2 8 0	122 15 0	1 0 4	0 0 4	0 0 8	..	...	...	...	...
6	Buldana	18	...	28 3 0	3 2 0	31 5 0	1 9 1	0 2 9	1 11 10	..	...	...	...	...
7	Basim	22	..	7 3 0	...	7 3 0	0 5 3	...	0 5 3	...	...	...	..	...
8	Ellichpur	10	...	6 2 0	0 8 0	6 10 0	0 9 9	0 0 9	0 10 6	...	...	...	...	...
9	Wun	44	.	52 9 0	0 8 0	53 1 0	1 3 1	0 0 2	1 3 3	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ..	495	...	853 13 0	80 5 10	934 2 10	1 12 2	0 2 8	1 14 10	...	...	...	...	...
	Grand Total {1891	25,536	25,16,013 4 4	2,88,058 14 0	87,096 8 6	3,75,154 12 6	11 4 8	3 6 6	14 10 10	11·4	3·5	14·9	160 14 9	570
	{1890	29,187	27,72,876 2 0	3,07,806 11 10	91,038 9 4	3,98,845 5 2	10 8 9	3 1 11	13 10 8	11·1	3·3	14·4	96 8 11	469

Supplemental Statement showing the value and costs of Original suits decided in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.

Value of suits.	No. of suits disposed of.	Aggregate value of suits.	Aggregate cost of suits	Average value of suits.	Average cost of suits	Percentage of cost to value.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a p.		
Not exceeding Rupees 10	1,494	10,488 4 3	4,643 1 8	7 2 9	3 1 1	40·5	32
Do „ 50	10,008	2,92,215 1 9	57,730 10 2	29 13 0	5 12 4	19·8	206
Do „ 100	4,852	3,39,927 8 10	52,628 11 5	71 10 9	10 13 7	15·5	109
Do „ 500	4,857	9,67,471 4 4	1,32,855 10 3	205 6 6	27 5 8	13·7	147
Do „ 1,000	484	3,19,139 0 7	38,593 4 6	695 4 8	79 11 10	12·1	25
Do „ 5,000	258	4,38,252 2 4	46,195 6 5	1,849 2 9	179 0 10	10·5	21
Do „ 10,000	15	88,712 1 0	9,905 7 9	7,392 10 9	660 5 10	11·2	3
Exceeding „ 10,000	5	59,807 13 3	5,456 8 4	14,951 15 4	1,091 4 10	9·1	1
Suits of which value cannot be fixed ..	755	...	18,216 9 8	...	24 2 1	...	24
Total { 1891 ...	22,728	25,16,013 4 4	3,66 225 6 2	113 6 8	16 1 10	14·6	568
Total { 1892 ...	26,331	27,72,876 2 0	3,88,898 12 10	107 3 6	14 12 4	14·	469

NOTE.—Miscellaneous cases (Judicial) have been omitted from this statement.

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VII—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing litigation regarding Survey Nos. in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

Number.	Districts	Suits relating to Survey No. included in column 20, Judicial Statement No. VII.					Suits to declare or establish right in Survey Nos. included in column 23, Judicial Statement No. VII
		Suits to recover possession under Sections 8 and 9 of the Specific Relief Act.	Other suits between parties claiming as proprietors.	Other suits between the proprietors of Survey Nos. and sub-tenants.	Suits not included in the foregoing columns.	Total number of suits.	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Akola ...	10	54	20	164	248	45
2	Buldana ...	18	20	175	20	233	10
3	Basim ...	3	14	48	9	74	6
4	Amraoti ...	14	237	27	67	345	193
5	Ellichpur ...	4	26	46	112	188	36
6	Wun ...	10	9	22	32	73	58
	Total ... 1891.	59	360	338	404	1,161	348
	Total ... 1890.	111	636	363	361	1,471	478

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VII-A—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing litigation regarding Wattandari suits in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

Description of Wattans.	Wattandari suits included in columns.						
	Akola.	Buldana.	Basim.	Amraoti.	Ellichpur.	Wun.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Deshmukhs ...	2	...	...	2	1	...	5
Deshpandias ...	3	...	...	...	...	...	3
Patels ...	5	2	1	7	1	1	17
Patwaris ...	1	...	1	...	...	...	2
Josis ...	4	...	...	3	2	1	10
Mullas and Kazis ...	1	...	...	1	...	1	3
Mahars ...	3	4	...	3	5	...	15
Other Wattandari suits not included above...	1	...	...	7	1	1	10
Total ... 1891 ...	20	6	2	23	10	4	65
Total ... 1890 ...	15	3	14	10	8	6	66

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. VIII—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the disposal of land or other immoveable property under Sections* 321 *and* 323 *of the Code of Civil Procedure in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for the year* 1891.

No.	Districts.	Number of instances in which land paying revenue to Government was attached.	Number of instances in which immoveable property was dealt with under Section 321 of the Code of Civil Procedure.	Number of instances in which the Deputy Commissioner as Collector took steps under Section 323 of the Code of Civil Procedure.					No. of instances in which the sales of land recommended.		No. of instances in which the sales actually took place.		Period for which the temporary alienation was made.				Remarks.
				A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	Self-acquired.	Ancestral.	Self-acquired.	Ancestral.	For 1 year and under.	For 3 years and under.	For 5 years and under.	For 10 years and under.	Above 10 and not exceeding 20 year,	
1	2	3	4			5			6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	Akola ...	289	213	.	...	52	44	117	14	38	14	38	2	12	8	15	7	
2	Buldana ...	145	19	...	...	...	9	...	2	2	2	2	...	1	1	2	5	
3	Basim ...	75	21	..	.	...	5	...	3	6	...	...	1	...	2	1	1	
4	Amraoti ...	165	59	...	.	4	17	16	2	3	2	2	1	6	2	...	8	
5	Ellichpur ...	185	148	...	...	29	37	69	...	...	13	16	5	7	9	6	10	
6	Wun ...	60	43	..	....	25	18	...	14	8	14	11	...	3	4	9	2	
	Total 1891	919	503	...	..	110	130	202	35	57	45	69	9	29	26	33	33	
	Total 1890	1.021	564	...	..	89	128	325	74	69	34	57	14	23	37	31	23	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IX—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the number of Civil Debtors imprisoned and released in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

No.	Districts.	Remained from last year.	Imprisoned during the year.	Total.	Released during the year.	Remaining at the close of the year.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Akola	...	2	2	1	1	
2	Buldana	1	7	8	8	...	
3	Basim	...	3	3	3	...	
4	Amraoti	1	17	18	18	...	
5	Ellichpur	...	8	8	8	...	
6	Wun	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	2	37	39	38	1	
1	Sub-Judges exercising Small Cause Court powers, Buldana ..	1	1	2	2	...	
	Total ...	1	1	2	2	...	
	Small Cause Courts.						
1	Akola	1	7	8	7	1	
2	Khamgaon	...	3	3	3	...	
3	Telhara	...	...	...	...	...	
4	Amraoti	1	2	3	3	...	
5	Badnera	...	13	13	13	..	
	Total ...	2	25	27	26	1	
	Grand Total ... 1891.	5	63	68	66	2	
	Grand Total ... 1890.	4	85	89	84	5	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the amounts realized under different heads of the Court Fees Act in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

No	Districts.	Amount realized on account of Court fees. In labels				Amount realized on account of process fees In labels				Grand total of columns 6 and 10	Total cost of process service-establishment.
		Civil.	Criminal	Revenue	Total	Civil	Criminal.	Revenue	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs a. p.	Rs a p		Rs a. p	Rs. a p	Rs a. p.		Rs a p.	Rs a p	Rs a. p.
1	Akola	29,837 1 0	3,145 14 0	...	32,982 15 0	11,295 1 0	1,714 2 0	...	13,009 3 0	45,992 2 0	5,063 6 3
2	Buldana	32,408 8 0	1,737 14 0	...	34,146 6 0	9,757 7 9	951 0 0	...	10,708 7 0	44,854 13 0	9,192 12 5
3	Basim	17,169 14 0	1,303 9 0	...	18,473 7 0	6 065 8 0	494 9 0	...	6,560 1 0	25,033 8 0	7,261 4 6
4	Amraoti	35,958 15 0	2,534 9 0	..	38,493 8 0	11,209 2 0	1,564 11 0	...	12,773 13 0	51,267 5 0	8,451 1 1
5	Ellichpur	20,247 1 0	1,123 8 0	...	21,370 9 0	8,813 5 0	788 5 0	...	9,601 10 0	30,972 3 0	7,788 0 9
6	Wun	19,940 13 0	961 14 0	...	20,902 11 0	6,919 10 0	426 14 0	...	7,346 8 0	28,249 3 0	6,305 0 6
	Total ..	1,55,562 4 0	10,807 4 0	...	1,66,369 8 0	54,060 1 0	5,939 9 0	...	59,999 10 0	2,26,369 2 0	44,061 9 6
	Small Cause Courts.										
1	Akola	11,946 11 0	...	...	11,946 11 0	3,859 11 0	...	...	3,859 11 0	15,806 6 0	2,781 7 6
2	Khamgaon	5,458 15 0	...	...	5,458 15 0	1,271 4 0	...	...	1,271 4 0	6,730 3 0	2,265 12 8
3	Telhara	11,807 1 0	...	...	11,807 1 0	3,697 15 0	...	...	3,697 15 0	15,505 0 0	6,159 12 7
4	Amraoti	18,155 13 0	...	...	18,155 13 0	5,542 0 0	...	..	5,542 0 0	23,697 13 0	4,130 3 7
5	Budnera	8,036 14 0	...	...	8,036 14 0	2,494 0 0	...	...	2,494 0 0	10,530 14 0	3,166 10 2
	Total ...	55,405 6 0	...	...	55,405 6 0	16,864 14 0	...	...	16,864 14 0	72,270 4 0	18,503 14 6
	Sub-Judges holding Small Cause Court powers.										
1	Akola	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
2	Buldana	3,715 9 0	...	...	3,715 9 0	1,228 9 0	...	...	1,228 9 0	4,944 2 0	...
3	Basim	4,011 11 0	...	..	4,011 11 0	898 14 0	...	..	898 14 0	4,910 9 0	..
4	Amraoti	2,172 1 0	...	...	2,172 1 0	1,316 5 0	...	...	1,316 5 0	3,488 6 0	...
5	Ellichpur	4,586 3 0	...	...	4,586 3 0	1,185 11 0	...	...	1,185 11 0	5,771 14 0	...
6	Wun	4,602 8 0	4 4 0	...	4,606 12 0	1,476 12 0	1 8 0	...	1,478 4 0	6,085 0 0	...
	Total ...	19,088 0 0	4 4 0	...	19,092 4 0	6,106 3 0	1 8 0	...	6,107 11 0	25,199 15 0	..
1	Civil and Sessions Judge's Court ...	5,525 11 0	60 8 0	...	5,586 3 0	406 0 0	...	...	406 0 0	5,992 3 0	...
2	Judicial Commissioner's Court ...	10,879 7 0	90 1 0	...	10,969 8 0	583 14 0	...	...	583 14 0	11,553 6 0	...
	Total ...	16,405 2 0	150 9 0	...	16,555 11 0	989 14 0	...	..	989 14 0	17,545 9 0	...
	Grand Total ... 1891 ...	2,46,460 12 0	10,962 1 0	..	2,57,422 13 0	78,021 0 0	5,941 1 0	...	83,962 1 0	3,41,384 14 0	62,565 8 0
	1890 ...	2,72,067 1 0	11,598 5 0	...	2,83,665 6 0	72,651 12 0	9,095 4 0	...	81,747 0 0	3,65,412 6 0	62,134 7 4

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XI—(CIVIL).—*Statement of processes issued and the amount realized and expended in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1891.

No.	District.	No. of processes issued.	Amount realized on account of processes	Establishment.				Balance.	Average No. of processes served by each process server.	Average distance travelled by each process server.	Remarks.
				Nazirs, &c.	Process servers	Contingencies	Total.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			
1	Akola	24,566	13.009 3 0	2 630 5 8	2,433 0 7	...	5,063 6 3	7,945 12 9	818·9	3315·9	
2	Buldana	34,469	10,708 7 0	3,580 4 1	5,612 8 4	...	9,192 12 5	1,515 10 7	384 3	1962·4	
3	Basim	19,697	6,560 1 0	3,075 6 2	4,185 14 4	...	7 261 4 6	197 10 6	594·	2297·	
4	Amraoti	46,889	12,773 13 0	3,436 4 0	4,978 13 1	36 0 0	8,451 1 1	4,127 7 11	901·7	4429·7	
5	Ellichpur	29,217	9,601 10 0	2,930 6 4	4,857 10 5	...	7,788 0 9	1,813 9 3	632·5	1391·5	
6	Wun	26,618	7,346 8 0	2,622 8 9	3,682 7 9	...	6,305 0 6	2,519 11 6	681·4	3934·5	
	Total ..	181,456	59,999 10 0	18,275 3 0	25,750 6 6	36 0 0	44.061 9 6	18,119 14 6	663·8	2888·5	
1	Sub-Judges exercising Small Cause Court powers. Akola	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	
2	Buldana ..	3,512	1,228 9 0	...	...	...	...	1,228 9 0	...	...	
3	Basim	2,876	898 14 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
4	Amraoti ..	...	1,316 5 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
5	Ellichpur ..	3,674	1,185 11 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
6	Wun	...	1,478 4 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ..	10,062	6,107 11 0	...	...	...	...	1,228 9 0	...	...	
1	Small Cause Courts. Akola	10,572	3,859 11 0	957 7 5	1,824 0 1	...	2,781 7 6	1,078 3 6	587·3	2393 2	
2	Khan gaon ...	5,552	1,271 4 0	1,061 12 8	1,204 0 0	...	2,265 12 8	994 8 8	662·7	1208·	
3	Pelhara ..	22,363	3,697 15 0	2,850 3 4	3,309 9 3	...	6,159 12 7	2,461 13 7	657·7	3302·	
4	Amraoti ...	12,451	5,542 0 0	1,716 15 6	2,413 4 1	...	4,130 3 7	1,411 12 5	602·	2029·	
5	Badnera ..	8,257	2,494 0 0	1,316 5 4	1,850 4 10	...	3,166 10 2	...	635·	2487·	
	Total ..	59,195	16,864 14 0	7,902 12 3	10,601 2 3	...	18,503 14 6	5,946 6 2	628·9	2283·8	
1	Civil and Sessions Judge's Court ..	...	406 0 0	...	...	...	...	406 0 0	...	...	
2	Judicial Commissioner's Court ..	...	583 14 0	...	...	...	...	583 14 0	...	...	
	Total ..	...	989 14 0	...	...	...	...	989 14 0	...	...	
	Grand Total ... 1891 ...	250,713	83,962 1 0	26,177 15 3	36,351 8 9	36 0 0	62,565 8 0	26,284 11 8	646·3	2586·1	
	1890 ...	259,533	81,747 0 0	25,482 11 10	36.615 11 6	36 0 0	62,134 7 4	19,612 8 8	663·2	2577·3	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XII—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the average detention of witnesses in the several Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1891.

No.	Courts.	No. of witnesses who attended.	Period of detention.												Number of witnesses examined	Number of witnesses allowed to go unexamined.	Remarks.
			For 1 day.	For 2 days.	For 3 days.	For 4 days.	For 5 days.	For 6 days.	For 7 days.	For 8 days.	For 9 days.	For 10 days.	Up to one month.	Total.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Akola	6,478	5,203	843	258	104	48	18	...	...	...	1	3	6,478	4,456	2,022	
2	Buldana	5,070	5,017	44	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,070	2,451	2,619	
3	Basim	3,110	2,798	293	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,110	1 633	1,477	
4	Amraoti	6,581	6,032	422	108	6	7	6	...	...	...	...	...	6,581	4,979	1,602	
5	Ellichpur	3,546	2,805	532	151	34	24	...	...	...	...	..	...	3,546	1,593	1,953	
6	Wun	2,534	2,204	277	52	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,534	2,097	437	
	Total. ...	27,319	24,059	2,411	597	145	79	24	...	...	...	1	3	27,319	17,209	10,110	
	Sub-Judges exercising Small Cause Court powers. Akola ...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	
	Buldana ...	1,077	1,021	54	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,077	358	719	
	Basim ...	1,118	970	148	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,118	701	417	
	Amraoti ..	1,640	1,599	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	1,640	732	908	
	Ellichpur ..	1,211	971	220	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,211	333	878	
	Wun ...	1,019	970	43	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,019	699	320	
	Total ..	6,065	5,531	506	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,065	2,823	3,242	
	Small Cause Courts.																
1	Akola	2,917	1,934	597	258	78	37	13	...	...	...	...	...	2,917	978	1,939	
2	Khamgaon	1,187	1,166	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,187	368	819	
3	Telhara	2,609	2,549	34	21	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,609	1,363	1,246	
4	Amraoti	1,567	1,560	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,567	1,013	554	
5	Badnera	1,092	1,051	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,092	387	705	
	Total ...	9,372	8,260	700	279	83	37	13	...	...	...	...	...	9,372	4,109	5,263	
	Grand Total 1891...	42,756	37,850	3,617	904	228	116	..	...	...	...	1	3	42,756	24,141	18,615	
	Grand Total 1890...	43,511	39,538	3,161	598	112	61	41	...	...	...	...	...	43,511	23,391	20,120	

Extract from the Proceedings of the Resident at Hyderabad, No. 3071, *dated Hyderabad Residency, the* 16*th September* 1892.

READ—

The Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1891.

ORDER.

The Resident is glad to notice that the returns and reports of the District and Small Cause Courts have this year been punctually submitted.

2. *Institution of suits.*—Table II shows a remarkable decrease in the number of suits instituted, which has fallen from 25,754 in 1890 to 22,590 in 1891. This falling-off is common to all six districts; but the districts of real decrease are, as the Judicial Commissioner remarks, Ellichpur, Amraoti and Wun, in which the total institutions were fewer by 1,069, 599, 329 respectively, than in the previous year. In Akola also, though the fall in the last year is not so marked, the tendency of litigation over a series of years seems to be distinctly to diminish. The explanations offered by the Deputy Commissioners of Ellichpur, Amraoti and Wun are themselves explained away by the Judicial Commissioner, and the Resident is unable to suggest any satisfactory solution of the drop. He is the less concerned to do so since there appears to be no reason to attribute it to any increasing lack of confidence in the courts or in our procedure, and so long as that is the case a decrease of litigation is a matter for congratulation.

Paragraphs 3 to 14 of the report.

3. *Classification of suits according to their subject matter.*—The Resident has been somewhat disappointed at the meagreness of the details given by Deputy Commissioners in response to the suggestion made in last year's review by Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick. It may well be, however, that they experienced some difficulty in the first year in dealing with a subject to which attention had not been previously directed, and Mr. Plowden hopes that more satisfactory results in this direction will be achieved hereafter.

Paragraphs 15 to 19 of the report.

4. *Disposal of original suits.*—Including suits instituted during the year, pending from, and re-admitted after, the close of the previous year, there were in all 26,814 cases for disposal, or 3,009 less than in the year 1890. Of these 4,086 were left undisposed of at the end of the year as against 3,491 remaining over from the year before; or in other words, the work done decreased in a still greater proportion than the work to be done. This the Resident cannot but regard as a very unsatisfactory feature of the year's work. Mr. Plowden notices with concern the extraordinarily low percentage (58) of cases disposed of in Ellichpur; this is attributed to the inadequate staff of officers available in the district for judicial work. The Resident hopes that this may not be allowed to occur again, and that special care may be taken in future in recommending leave to subordinate considerations of private convenience to the public interests. In Amraoti the arrears of work are heavier than they ought to be considering the exceptionally numerous and capable staff of officers attached to that district. The Resident agrees with the remarks made by the Judicial Commissioner on this subject in paragraph 29 of the report, and he looks to Mr. Bullock to insist upon such arrangements being made by the Deputy Commissioner as shall ensure a sufficiently prompt disposal of the pending cases in the several courts at headquarters.

Paragraphs 20 to 30 of the report.

5. *Duration of cases.*—There is a marked increase in the average duration of cases in all districts except Basim and Wun, in the latter of which there is a very distinct improvement over last year in this respect. In the Amraoti and Ellichpur Districts, which are worst on paper, the long duration of cases has apparently been in part due to the fact of many old suits having been decided in the year under report, and it may be hoped that the returns for the current twelve months will be less unsatisfactory.

Paragraphs 31 to 33 of the report.

6. *Execution of decrees.*—There is nothing in the results of last year's work under this head which calls for any particular comment from the Resident, but he observes with pleasure that the courts have been required to set apart special days and hours for this important branch of business. This is certainly a move in the right direction.

Paragraphs 42 to 47 of the report.

7. *Detention of witnesses.*—The Resident has noticed the Judicial Commissioner's remarks as to the small value of the returns showing detention of witnesses, and hopes that the Judicial Commissioner will arrange as soon as he can for the statistics being made more accurate. Mr. Plowden thinks that this return if correctly made out is valuable as an indication of the way in which the courts do their work, and does not, as at present advised, approve the idea of dispensing with it altogether.

Paragraph 50 of the report.

8. *Appeals.*—Coincidently with the decrease in original institutions during the year under report as compared with the previous twelve months, there has been a general increase in the number of appeals instituted and to be disposed of. In the Judicial Commissioner's court the greater part of the increase is due to the large number of special appeals left pending from 1890, and, so far as miscellaneous appeals are concerned, to more careful registration. In other courts the institutions have been considerably more numerous in 1891 than in 1890, but the Resident observes with satisfaction that the appellate courts have on the whole managed to keep well abreast of their heavier work, and that the increase in the number of pending appeals is comparatively small. In the Judicial Commissioner's court the results are especially good.

Paragraphs 53 to 63 of the report.

9. *Inspection of cases.*—The criticisms made last year on this subject by Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick have borne fruit, and Deputy Commissioners have paid increased attention to this important duty. Mr. Plowden hopes that they will continue to bear in mind their responsibility for the proper working of the courts subordinate to them. The results of the Judicial Commissioner's inspections are not discreditable to the judicial administration.

Paragraphs 64 and 65 of the report.

10. *Local Bar.*—The Resident is glad to see that the relations between Bench and Bar are on the whole satisfactory. The new rules for the admission of pleaders in the Berar courts will, as their effect comes gradually to be felt, undoubtedly tend year by year to raise the standard of legal practitioners in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

Paragraphs 67 and 68 of the report.

11. *Notice of officers.*—The Resident gladly acknowledges the services of Mr. Obbard, who was officiating Judicial Commissioner at the end of 1891, and of the officers specially named by him, and he is pleased to notice that in Mr. Obbard's opinion the work of the subordinate courts generally has been well done. This is a more favorable criticism than the same officer was able to pass during 1890, when he was Civil and Sessions Judge; and Mr. Plowden hopes that the courts will continue to keep up to the higher standard which every year becomes more necessary in a progressive province like Berar. The late Judicial Commissioner, who held that office during the greater part of 1891, has now on promotion taken final leave of the Bench, but the Resident cannot allow the severance of his connection with this branch of the administration to pass without placing on record his concurrence in the opinion expressed last year by Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick on Colonel Mackenzie's qualifications as a judicial officer.

Paragraphs 71 and 72 of the report.

(By order.)

G. R. IRWIN,

Secretary for Berar.